Facesheet: 1. Request Information (1 of 2)

Α.	The State of Wisconsin requests a waiver/amendment	under the authority	of section 1915(b)	of the Act.	The Medicaid
	agency will directly operate the waiver.				

В.	Name of Waiver	Program(s	s): Please I	ist each program	name the waiver	authorizes.

Short title (nickname)	Long title	Type of Program	
Family Care	Family Care	PIHP;	\neg

Waiver Application Title (optional - this title will be used to locate this waiver in the finder): B Waiver Renewal - Family Care 2015

C. Type of Request. This is an:

The amendment modifies (Sect/Part):

This waiver amendment adds Adams, Florence, Forest, Oneida, Taylor and Vilas counties to the Family Care program to be implemented by 12/31/17. It also adds Dane county to be implemented by 12/31/18.

The following Sections of the waiver have been modified: Part I Tribal Consultation and Program History; Section A, Part 1D; Section D: Part I.E.c.; Section D: Part I.J.b.2.v.D.; Section D: Part I.M.a.1.; Section D: Part I.M.b.; corresponding sections for P3 - P5 in the Appendix D financial projection workbook.

Requested Approval Period:(For waivers requeindividuals who are dually eligible for Medicaid	esting three, four, or five year approval periods, the waiver must serve and Medicare.)
○ 1 year ○ 2 years ○ 3 years ○ 4 years	s • 5 years
Draft ID:WI.048.06.03	
•	or a period of 5 years. (For beginning date for an initial or renewal
	rter, if possible, or if not, the first day of a month. For an amendment,
	ginning date, and end of the waiver period as the end date)
Approved Effective Date of Base Waiver being	J Amended: 01/01/15
Proposed Effective Date: (mm/dd/yy)	
07/01/17	

Facesheet: 2. State Contact(s) (2 of 2)

D.

E. State Contact: The state contact person for this waiver is below:

Name:	Diane Poole	Phone:		If the State
		(608) 267-4896	Ext:	TTYcontact information is
Fax:		E-mail:	diane.poole@	dhs.wisconsdifferent for any

programs, please check the program name below and provide the contact information.

The State contact information is different for the following programs:

Note: If no programs appear in this list, please define the programs authorized by this waiver on the first page of the

Section A: Program Description

Part I: Program Overview

Tribal consultation.

For initial and renewal waiver requests, please describe the efforts the State has made to ensure Federally recognized tribes in the State are aware of and have had the opportunity to comment on this waiver proposal.

The SMA has a formal process for informing tribal leadership of all changes to the Medicaid state plan, including and new waiver proposals and any changes or renewals of existing waivers under 1915(b) and 1915(c). Formal tribal consultation meetings are held semiannually to brief tribal leaders on a range of activities and initiatives at the SMA.

At the mid-year consultation meeting on May 14, 2014, the SMA provided the tribal leadership with information on the process for renewal of the 1915(b) and (c) Family Care waivers. On July 30, 2014, the SMA held a stakeholder meeting to specifically announce opportunities for public input including input from tribes.

In addition to the tribal consultation requirements for the public notice, the SMA meets periodically with tribal health directors, aging directors and with a group known as the Tribal Long Term Care Services Study Group. All of the meetings provide an opportunity for the SMA to brief Tribes and to get input on waiver proposals.

Tribes are notified and have the same opportunity as other stakeholders to comment on the waiver draft posted for public comment.

FOR THIS AMENDMENT, the SMA provided the tribal leadership (at the tribal consultation meeting on November 3, 2016) with information on the process for amendment of the 1915(b) Family Care waiver and the changes (adding Adams, Dane, Florence, Forest, Oneida, Taylor and Vilas counties) being made to the waiver, and the opportunity the tribes have for input on the amendment. This information was also sent to the tribal leadership, Tribal Long Term Care Study Group and Tribal Health Directors in a letter dated October 13, 2016. The State received no comments from the tribes regarding this amendment. Due to portal field space limitations, please see attached documents for tribal feedback and State response.

Program History.

For renewal waivers, please provide a brief history of the program(s) authorized under the waiver. Include implementation date and major milestones (phase-in timeframe; new populations added; major new features of existing program; new programs added).

Implementation of the Family Care program began in 1998 to reform the existing fragmented long-term care system in Wisconsin. The first members were enrolled in the managed long-term services and supports program in February of 2000. For several years, Family Care operated as a pilot program in five counties serving frail elders and adults with physical and intellectual and/or developmental disabilities.

With the assistance of a Real Choice Systems Change grant awarded in September 2004, Wisconsin embarked upon a process to expand Family Care geographically beyond the five pilot counties. In early 2007, the first expansion counties began operating and about 4 years later Family Care had expanded to 57 of Wisconsin's 72 counties. The target populations and the program design remained constant during this expansion.

The SMA has implemented several improvements since 2007; however the fundamental design of the program has not changed.

As of 2014, Family Care operates in 57 counties by eight PIHPs known as Family Care Managed Care Organizations. These PIHPs are certified by the SMA and monitored by the SMA under a comprehensive contract. As of February 2014, wait lists for community-based long term services and supports were eliminated in the last of the Family Care counties. On April 21, 2014, the Governor announced plans to expand Family Care to seven additional counties in 2015. Family Care was implemented in the seven additional counties as follows: Brown (7/1/15), Door (8/1/15), Kewaunee (6/1/15), Marinette (10/1/15), Menominee (11/1/15), Oconto (6/1/15), and Shawano (9/1/15).

As of 7/1/16, Family Care will operate in Rock County.

This waiver amendment adds Adams, Florence, Forest, Oneida, Taylor and Vilas counties to the Family Care program to be implemented by 12/31/17. It also adds Dane county to be implemented by 12/31/18.

Print application selector for 1915(b)Waiver: Draft WI.048.06.03 - Jul 01, 2017 Page 3 of 75

Section A: Program Description

A. Statutory Authority (1 o	t 3	,)
-----------------------------	-----	----

 Waiver Authority. The State's waiver program is authorized under section 1915(b) of the Act, which permits the Secretary to waive provisions of section 1902 for certain purposes. Specifically, the State is relying upon authority provided in the following subsection(s) of the section 1915(b) of the Act (if more than one program authorized by this waiver, please list applicable programs below each relevant authority): a. 1915(b)(1) - The State requires enrollees to obtain medical care through a primary care case management (PCCM) system or specialty physician services arrangements. This includes mandatory capitated programs. Specify Program Instance(s) applicable to this authority Family Care
 b. x 1915(b)(2) - A locality will act as a central broker (agent, facilitator, negotiator) in assisting eligible individuals in choosing among PCCMs or competing MCOs/PIHPs/PAHPs in order to provide enrollees with more information about the range of health care options open to them. Specify Program Instance(s) applicable to this authority x Family Care
 c. 1915(b)(3) - The State will share cost savings resulting from the use of more cost-effective medical care with enrollees by providing them with additional services. The savings must be expended for the benefit of the Medicaid beneficiary enrolled in the waiver. Note: this can only be requested in conjunction with section 1915(b)(1) or (b)(4) authority.
 d. x 1915(b)(4) - The State requires enrollees to obtain services only from specified providers who undertake to provide such services and meet reimbursement, quality, and utilization standards which are consistent with access, quality, and efficient and economic provision of covered care and services. The State assures it will comply with 42 CFR 431.55(f). Specify Program Instance(s) applicable to this authority x Family Care
The 1915(b)(4) waiver applies to the following programs MCO PIHP PAHP PCCM (Note: please check this item if this waiver is for a PCCM program that limits who is eligible
to be a primary care case manager. That is, a program that requires PCCMs to meet certain quality/utilization criteria beyond the minimum requirements required to be a fee-for-service Medicaid contracting provider.) FFS Selective Contracting program Please describe:
Section A: Program Description
Part I: Program Overview
N .

A Otatutam Authority (2. 62

A. Statutory Authority (2 of 3)

- 2. Sections Waived. Relying upon the authority of the above section(s), the State requests a waiver of the following sections of 1902 of the Act (if this waiver authorizes multiple programs, please list program(s) separately under each applicable statute):
 - a. Section 1902(a)(1) Statewideness--This section of the Act requires a Medicaid State plan to be in effect in all political subdivisions of the State. This waiver program is not available throughout the State.

Specify Program Instance(s) applicable to this statute x Family Care	
 b. x Section 1902(a)(10)(B) - Comparability of ServicesThis section of the Act requires all services for categorically needy individuals to be equal in amount, duration, and scope. This waiver program includes additional benefits such as case management and health education that will not be available to other Medicaid beneficiaries not enrolled in the waiver program. Specify Program Instance(s) applicable to this statute Family Care 	
c. x Section 1902(a)(23) - Freedom of ChoiceThis Section of the Act requires Medicaid State plans to permiall individuals eligible for Medicaid to obtain medical assistance from any qualified provider in the State. Under this program, free choice of providers is restricted. That is, beneficiaries enrolled in this program must receive certain services through an MCO, PIHP, PAHP, or PCCM Specify Program Instance(s) applicable to this statute x Family Care	
d. Section 1902(a)(4) - To permit the State to mandate beneficiaries into a single PIHP or PAHP, and restrict	t
disenrollment from them. (If state seeks waivers of additional managed care provisions, please list here). Specify Program Instance(s) applicable to this statute Family Care	
e. Other Statutes and Relevant Regulations Waived - Please list any additional section(s) of the Act the	
State requests to waive, and include an explanation of the request.	
Specify Program Instance(s) applicable to this statute	J
Family Care	
A: Program Description	
Program Overview	

Section A

Part I: P

A. Statutory Authority (3 of 3)

Additional Information. Please enter any additional information not included in previous pages: TIMELINE AND PROCESS FOR FAMILY CARE EXPANSION TO SIX COUNTIES IN 2017:

September 2016 - RFPs issued for the following Geographic Service Regions (GSRs) that incorporate 6 of the 7 expansion counties. These counties were added to existing regions:

GSR 1: Taylor

GSR 4: Vilas, Oneida, Forest and Florence

GSR 5: Adams

November 9, 2016 - RFPs due

January 2017 - PIHPs selected

March-May 2017 - Letter mailed to all current Community Integration Program (CIP) and Community Options Program (COP) participants announcing the transition from CIP and COP to Family Care (FC) and IRIS beginning July 2017. Letter invites participants to a member forum and includes a list of FAQs.

Feb-April 2017 - Letter mailed to all current CIP/COP providers inviting them to a provider forum.

Feb-May 2017 - Two public notices published in the official newspapers for each of the 6 expansion counties. One announces the provider forums and the other announces the member forums for a total of 12 notices.

Feb-April 2017 - State hosts provider forums presenting the differences between FC and IRIS. Each PIHP also provides information on their contracting and claims payment processes.

March-May 2017 - State hosts 1-2 member forums in each expansion county presenting the differences between FC and IRIS, as well as who the State is contracting with to operate both programs. Topics include: when the Aging and Disability Resource Center (ADRC) will contact individuals for options counseling, how decisions about care plans and service providers are made, appeals and grievance processes, availability of independent ombudsmen services, and when individuals will need to make a decision.

April-October 2017 - ADRCs meet with each CIP/COP participant and/or their guardian or legal representative to perform options counseling.

June-October 2017 – 30 days prior to each county's implementation date, State sends a letter to all current CIP/COP participants notifying them that their current program is terminating by the end of 2017 and that new choices are available to them. Letter includes contact information for the ADRC, as well as the ombudsmen.

TIMELINE AND PROCESS FOR FAMILY CARE EXPANSION TO DANE COUNTY IN 2018:

January 2017 - RFPs issued for Dane County, GSR 12.

March 2017 - RFPs due

May-June, 2017 - PIHPs selected

August-September 2017 - Letter mailed to current CIP/COP participants announcing the transition to FC and IRIS beginning Q1 2018. Letter invites participants to member forums and includes a list of FAQs related to the transition.

July-August 2017 - Letter mailed to all current CIP/COP providers inviting them to provider forums.

July-September 2017 - Public notices published in Dane County official newspapers announcing the provider and member forums.

July-August 2017 - State hosts 2 provider forums. State presents the differences between FC and IRIS. Each PIHP provides information on their contracting and claims payment processes.

September-October 2017 - State hosts 2 member forums. State presents the differences between FC and IRIS, as well as who the State is contracting with to operate both programs. Topics include: when the ADRC would be contacting individuals for options counseling, how decisions about care plans and service providers are made, appeals and grievance processes, availability of independent ombudsmen services, and when individuals will need to make a decision.

October 2017-June 2018 - ADRC meets with each CIP/COP participant and/or their guardian or legal representative to perform options counseling.

By December 31, 2017 - State sends letter to all current CIP/COP participants notifying them that their current program is terminating by the end of 2018 and that new choices are available to them. Letter includes ADRC contact information, as well as the ombudsmen.

PIHP'S READINESS FOR FAMILY CARE EXPANSION TO ADAMS, DANE, FLORENCE, FOREST, ONEIDA, TAYLOR AND VILAS COUNTIES:

The State considers the following factors when determining a PIHP's readiness to provide services:

- * Availability of all provider types throughout the county
- * Knowledge of the target groups to be served
- * care management capacity, as well as tools and competency (comprehensive assessment, member-centered plan template, service authorization policy, safety and risk policy, training plan, etc.)
- * Member materials
- * Appeals and grievances process

Part I: Program Overview

Print application selector for 1915(b)Waiver: Draft WI.048.06.03 - Jul 01, 2017 Page 7 of 75

B. Delivery Systems (2 of 3)

2.	care entity	nent. The State selected the contractor in the following manner. Please complete for each type of managed utilized (e.g. procurement for MCO; procurement for PIHP, etc): curement for MCO
	0	Competitive procurement process (e.g. Request for Proposal or Invitation for Bid that is formally advertised and targets a wide audience)
	\circ	Open cooperative procurement process (in which any qualifying contractor may participate)
	\bigcirc	Sole source procurement
		Other (please describe)
	X Prod	curement for PIHP
	•	Competitive procurement process (e.g. Request for Proposal or Invitation for Bid that is formally advertised and targets a wide audience)
	\bigcirc	Open cooperative procurement process (in which any qualifying contractor may participate)
	\bigcirc	Sole source procurement
	\circ	Other (please describe)
	□ Pro	curement for PAHP
		Competitive procurement process (e.g. Request for Proposal or Invitation for Bid that is formally advertised
		and targets a wide audience)
	\bigcirc	Open cooperative procurement process (in which any qualifying contractor may participate)
	\bigcirc	Sole source procurement
	\bigcirc	Other (please describe)
	☐ Pro	curement for PCCM
	\circ	Competitive procurement process (e.g. Request for Proposal or Invitation for Bid that is formally advertised and targets a wide audience)
	\bigcirc	Open cooperative procurement process (in which any qualifying contractor may participate)
	\bigcirc	Sole source procurement
	\bigcirc	Other (please describe)
	☐ Pro	curement for FFS
	\circ	Competitive procurement process (e.g. Request for Proposal or Invitation for Bid that is formally advertised and targets a wide audience)
	\bigcirc	Open cooperative procurement process (in which any qualifying contractor may participate)
	\bigcirc	Sole source procurement
	\bigcirc	Other (please describe)

Print application selector for 1915(b)Waiver: Draft WI.048.06.03 - Jul 01, 2017

Page 8 of 75

following areas ("rural area" must be defined as any area other than an "urban area" as defined in 42 CFR 412.62
<u>(f)(1)(ii)):</u>
4. 1915(b)(4) Selective Contracting.
Beneficiaries will be limited to a single provider in their service area
Please define service area.
Beneficiaries will be given a choice of providers in their service area
Section A: Program Description
Part I: Program Overview
C. Choice of MCOs, PIHPs, PAHPs, and PCCMs (3 of 3)

Additional Information. Please enter any additional information not included in previous pages: Individuals not eligible for 1915(c) waiver services have the choice of receiving State Plan services through enrollment with a PIHP or through regular Medicaid if they are financially eligible for Medicaid. Individuals who are not eligible for enrollment in a 1915(c) waiver and who, as a result, are not eligible for Medicaid, may not receive Medicaid services through either the PIHP or fee-for-service providers.

Potential beneficiaries meeting the level of care requirements for 1915 (c) waiver services have the choice between a Family Care PIHP and participation in the §1915(c) self-directed supports waiver called IRIS. IRIS is available in the same geographic service areas as Family Care. In some counties, some beneficiaries may also have the choice of more than one PIHP.

Those enrollees eligible only for State Plan services, because they do not meet the level of care requirements for 1915 (c) waiver services, have the choice of receiving those Medicaid State Plan services through the PIHP or disenrolling and receiving all state plan services through regular Medicaid if they financially qualify for Medicaid.

Potential enrollees have any one of the following choices:

- Enrolling in a PIHP. In certain counties there may be more than one PIHP from which to choose; or
- Enrolling in IRIS (the Self-Directed Supports Waiver) for 1915 (c) waiver services while receiving State Plan services via FFS; or
- Receiving all State Plan services via FFS Medicaid if they are financially qualified for Medicaid.

Section A: Program Description

Part I: Program Overview

D. Geographic Areas Served by the Waiver (1 of 2)

- 1. General. Please indicate the area of the State where the waiver program will be implemented. (If the waiver authorizes more than one program, please list applicable programs below item(s) the State checks.
 - Statewide -- all counties, zip codes, or regions of the State
 - -- Specify Program Instance(s) for Statewide
 - X Family Care
 - Less than Statewide
 - -- Specify Program Instance(s) for Less than Statewide
 - ─ Family Care

2. Details. Regardless of whether item 1 or 2 is checked above, please list in the chart below the areas (i.e., cities, counties, and/or regions) and the name and type of entity or program (MCO, PIHP, PAHP, HIO, PCCM or other entity) with which the State will contract.

City/County/Region	Type of Program (PCCM, MCO, PIHP, or PAHP)	Name of Entity (for MCO, PIHP, PAHP)
Douglas County	PIHP	Community Care Connections of Wisconsin
Bayfield County	PIHP	Community Care Connections of Wisconsin
Ashland County	PIHP	Community Care Connections of Wisconsin
Iron County	PIHP	Community Care Connections of Wisconsin
Price County	PIHP	Community Care Connections of Wisconsin
Sawyer County	PIHP	Community Care Connections of Wisconsin
Washburn County	PIHP	Community Care Connections of Wisconsin
Burnett County	PIHP	Community Care Connections of Wisconsin
Polk County	PIHP	Community Care Connections of Wisconsin
Barron County	PIHP	Community Care Connections of Wisconsin
Rusk County	PIHP	Community Care Connections of Wisconsin
Chippewa County	PIHP	ContinuUs; Care Wisconsin
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	PIHP	ContinuUs; Care Wisconsin
Dunn County	PIHP	ContinuUs; Care Wisconsin
St. Croix County	PIHP	ContinuUs; Care Wisconsin
Pierce County	PIHP	ContinuUs; Care Wisconsin
Clark County	PIHP	Western Wisconsin Cares; Care Wisconsin
Jackson County	PIHP	Western Wisconsin Cares; Care Wisconsin
Trempealeau County	PIHP	Western Wisconsin Cares; Care Wisconsin
Buffalo County	PIHP	Western Wisconsin Cares; Care Wisconsin
Pepin County	PIHP	Western Wisconsin Cares; Care Wisconsin
Monroe County	PIHP	Western Wisconsin Cares; Care Wisconsin
LaCrosse County	PIHP	Western Wisconsin Cares; Care Wisconsin
Vernon County	PIHP	Western Wisconsin Cares; Care Wisconsin
Lincoln County	PIHP	Community Care Connections of Wisconsin
Langlade County	PIHP	Community Care Connections of Wisconsin
Marathon County	PIHP	Community Care Connections of Wisconsin
Wood County	PIHP	Community Care Connections of Wisconsin
Portage County	PIHP	Community Care Connections of Wisconsin
Marinette County	PIHP	Lakeland Care District; Care Wisconsin
Oconto County	PIHP	Lakeland Care District; Care Wisconsin
Menominee County	PIHP	Lakeland Care District; Care Wisconsin
Shawano County	PIHP	Lakeland Care District; Care Wisconsin
Brown County	PIHP	Lakeland Care District; Care Wisconsin
Door County	PIHP	Lakeland Care District; Care Wisconsin
Kewaunee County	PIHP	Lakeland Care District; Care Wisconsin
Waupaca County	PIHP	Community Care, Inc.; Lakeland Care District
Outagamie County	PIHP	Community Care, Inc.; Lakeland Care District
Calumet County	PIHP	Community Care, Inc.; Lakeland Care District
Manitowoc County	PIHP	Community Care, Inc.; Lakeland Care District

City/County/Region	Type of Program (PCCM, MCO, PIHP, or PAHP)	Name of Entity (for MCO, PIHP, PAHP)
Winnebago County	PIHP	Community Care, Inc.; Lakeland Care District
Fond du Lac County	PIHP	Community Care, Inc.; Lakeland Care District
Waushara County	PIHP	Care Wisconsin; ContinuUs
Marquette County	PIHP	Care Wisconsin; ContinuUs
Green Lake County	PIHP	Care Wisconsin; ContinuUs
Columbia County	PIHP	Care Wisconsin; ContinuUs
Dodge County	PIHP	Care Wisconsin; ContinuUs
Jefferson County	PIHP	Care Wisconsin; ContinuUs
Washington County	PIHP	Care Wisconsin; ContinuUs; Community Care, Inc.; My Choice Family Care
Waukesha County	PIHP	Care Wisconsin; ContinuUs; Community Care, Inc.; My Choice Family Care
Sheboygan County	PIHP	Care Wisconsin; Community Care, Inc.; My Choice Family Care
Ozaukee County	PIHP	Care Wisconsin; Community Care, Inc.; My Choice Family Care
Walworth County	PIHP	Care Wisconsin; Community Care, Inc.; My Choice Family Care
Milwaukee County	PIHP	Community Care, Inc.; My Choice Family Care; iCare
Racine County	PIHP	Community Care, Inc.; My Choice Family Care; iCare
Kenosha County	PIHP	Community Care, Inc.; My Choice Family Care; iCare
Juneau County	PIHP	ContinuUs; Care Wisconsin
Sauk County	PIHP	ContinuUs; Care Wisconsin
Richland County	PIHP	ContinuUs; Care Wisconsin
Crawford County	PIHP	ContinuUs; Care Wisconsin
Grant County	PIHP	ContinuUs; Care Wisconsin
Iowa County	PIHP	ContinuUs; Care Wisconsin
LaFayette County	PIHP	ContinuUs; Care Wisconsin
Green County	PIHP	ContinuUs; Care Wisconsin
Rock County	PIHP	My Choice Family Care; Community Care Connections of Wisconsin
Adams County	PIHP	TBD
Dane County	PIHP	TBD
Florence County	PIHP	TBD
Forest County	PIHP	TBD
Oneida County	PIHP	TBD
Taylor County	PIHP	TBD
Vilas County	PIHP	TBD

Print application selector for 1915(b)Waiver: Draft WI.048.06.03 - Jul 01, 2017 Page 12 of 75

 Mandatory enrollment Voluntary enrollment Other (Please define): Section A: Program Description Part I: Program Overview E. Populations Included in Waiver (2 of 3) 2. Excluded Populations. Within the groups identified above, there may be certain groups of individuals who are excluded from the Waiver Program. For example, the "Aged" population may be required to enroll into the program, but "Dual Eligibles" within that population may not be allowed to participate. In addition, "Section 1931 Children" may be able to enroll voluntarily in a managed care program, but "Foster Care Children" within that population may be excluded from that program. Please indicate if any of the following populations are excluded from participating in the Waiver Program: Medicare Dual Eligible --Individuals entitled to Medicare and eligible for some category of Medicaid benefits. (Section 1902(a)(10) and Section 1902(a)(10)(E)) Poverty Level Pregnant Women -- Medicaid beneficiaries, who are eligible only while pregnant and for a short time after delivery. This population originally became eligible for Medicaid under the SOBRA legislation. Other Insurance -- Medicaid beneficiaries who have other health insurance. Reside in Nursing Facility or ICF/IID --Medicaid beneficiaries who reside in Nursing Facilities (NF) or Intermediate Care Facilities for the Individuals with Intellectual Disabilities (ICF/IID). |X| Enrolled in Another Managed Care Program --Medicaid beneficiaries who are enrolled in another Medicaid managed care program Eligibility Less Than 3 Months --Medicaid beneficiaries who would have less than three months of Medicaid eligibility remaining upon enrollment into the program. |X| Participate in HCBS Waiver --Medicaid beneficiaries who participate in a Home and Community Based Waiver (HCBS, also referred to as a 1915(c) waiver). American Indian/Alaskan Native --Medicaid beneficiaries who are American Indians or Alaskan Natives and members of federally recognized tribes. Special Needs Children (State Defined) -- Medicaid beneficiaries who are special needs children as defined by the State. Please provide this definition. SCHIP Title XXI Children – Medicaid beneficiaries who receive services through the SCHIP program. |X| Retroactive Eligibility – Medicaid beneficiaries for the period of retroactive eligibility. Other (Please define):

Print application selector for 1915(b)Waiver: Draft WI.048.06.03 - Jul 01, 2017 Page 13 of 75

Print application selector for 1915(b)Waiver: Draft WI.048.06.03 - Jul 01, 2017 Page 14 of 75
Section A: Program Description
Part I: Program Overview
E. Populations Included in Waiver (3 of 3)
Additional Information. Please enter any additional information not included in previous pages:
1) Included Populations: Section 1931 Adults and Related Populations - Only that subset of adults in this population with disabilities who are determined through functional screening to require a nursing home or non-nursing level of care are included.
2) Excluded Populations: Participate in HCBS Waiver - Medicaid beneficiaries who participate in a different Home and Community Based Waiver (HCBS, also referred to as a 1915 (c) waiver) are excluded, except for the HCBS waiver that runs concurrently with this §1915(b) waiver. CMS control number 0367.
Section A: Program Description
Part I: Program Overview
F. Services (1 of 5)
List all services to be offered under the Waiver in Appendices D2.S. and D2.A of Section D, Cost-Effectiveness.
1. Assurances.
The State assures CMS that services under the Waiver Program will comply with the following federal
requirements:
 Services will be available in the same amount, duration, and scope as they are under the State Plan per 42 CFR 438.210(a)(2).
 Access to emergency services will be assured per section 1932(b)(2) of the Act and 42 CFR 438.114. Access to family planning services will be assured per section 1905(a)(4) of the Act and 42 CFR 431.51(b). The State seeks a waiver of section 1902(a)(4) of the Act, to waive one or more of the
regulatory requirements listed above for PIHP or PAHP programs. Please identify each regulatory requirement for which a waiver is requested, the managed care program(s) to which the waiver will apply, and what the State proposes as an alternative requirement, if any. (See note below for limitations on requirements that may be waived).
X The CMS Regional Office has reviewed and approved the MCO, PIHP, PAHP, or PCCM contracts for compliance with the provisions of 42 CFR 438.210(a)(2), 438.114, and 431.51 (Coverage of Services, Emergency Services, and Family Planning) as applicable. If this is an initial waiver, the State assures that contracts that comply with these provisions will be submitted to the CMS Regional Office for approval prior to enrollment of beneficiaries in the MCO, PIHP, PAHP, or PCCM.
This is a proposal for a 1915(b)(4) FFS Selective Contracting Program only and the managed care regulations do not apply. The State assures CMS that services will be available in the same amount, duration, and seems as they are under the State Plan.
and scope as they are under the State Plan. X The state assures CMS that it complies with Title I of the Medicare Modernization Act of 2003, in so far as these requirements are applicable to this waiver.

purposes listed in sections 1915(b)(1)-(4) of the Act. However, within section 1915(b) there are prohibitions on waiving the following subsections of section 1902 of the Act for any type of waiver program:

Note: Section 1915(b) of the Act authorizes the Secretary to waive most requirements of section 1902 of the Act for the

- Section 1902(s) -- adjustments in payment for inpatient hospital services furnished to infants under age 1, and to children under age 6 who receive inpatient hospital services at a Disproportionate Share Hospital (DSH) facility.
- Sections 1902(a)(15) and 1902(bb) prospective payment system for FQHC/RHC
- Section 1902(a)(10)(A) as it applies to 1905(a)(2)(C) comparability of FQHC benefits among Medicaid beneficiaries
- Section 1902(a)(4)(C) -- freedom of choice of family planning providers
- Sections 1915(b)(1) and (4) also stipulate that section 1915(b) waivers may not waive freedom of choice of emergency services providers.

Section A: Program Description

Part I: Program Overview

F. Services (2 of 5)

- 2. Emergency Services. In accordance with sections 1915(b) and 1932(b) of the Act, and 42 CFR 431.55 and 438.114, enrollees in an MCO, PIHP, PAHP, or PCCM must have access to emergency services without prior authorization, even if the emergency services provider does not have a contract with the entity.
 - |X| The PAHP, PAHP, or FFS Selective Contracting program does not cover emergency services.

Emergency Services Category General Comments (optional):

Inpatient and outpatient services needed to evaluate or stabilize an emergency condition are not a covered benefit in Family Care PIHPs. PIHPs are responsible to instruct all members on where and how to obtain emergency services not covered in the PIHP benefit package. In addition, PIHP interdisciplinary care management teams are responsible to monitor the health conditions of members and to coordinate PIHP services with primary and acute health care services members receive from other sources. This includes responsibility for referring to, or arranging for, emergency services when necessary and ensuring the availability of transportation needed to access primary and acute health care services. PIHP member handbooks are required to explain that members should access emergency medical care as they would in any case, such as by calling 911.

3. Family Planning Services. In accordance with sections 1905(a)(4) and 1915(b) of the Act, and 42 CFR 431.51(b), prior authorization of, or requiring the use of network providers for family planning services is prohibited under the waiver program. Out-of-network family planning services are reimbursed in the following manner:

The MCO/PIHP/PAHP will be required to reimburse out-of-network family planning services.
The MCO/PIHP/PAHP will be required to pay for family planning services from network providers, and the State will pay for family planning services from out-of-network providers.
The State will pay for all family planning services, whether provided by network or out-of-network providers.
Other (please explain):

|X| Family planning services are not included under the waiver.

Family Planning Services Category General Comments (optional):

Family planning and emergency services are covered by the Medicaid State Plan available FFS.

Section A: Program Description

Part I: Program Overview

F. Services (3 of 5)

 FQHC Services. In accordance with section 2088.6 of the State Medicaid Manual, access to Federally Qualified Health Center (FQHC) services will be assured in the following manner:
The program is voluntary, and the enrollee can disenroll at any time if he or she desires access to FQHC services. The MCO/PIHP/PAHP/PCCM is not required to provide FQHC services to the enrollee during the enrollment period.
☐ The program is mandatory and the enrollee is guaranteed a choice of at least one MCO/PIHP/PAHP/PCCM
which has at least one FQHC as a participating provider. If the enrollee elects not to select a MCO/PIHP/PAHP/PCCM that gives him or her access to FQHC services, no FQHC services will be required to be furnished to the enrollee while the enrollee is enrolled with the MCO/PIHP/PAHP/PCCM he or she selected. Since reasonable access to FQHC services will be available under the waiver program, FQHC services outside the program will not be available. Please explain how the State will guarantee all enrollees will have a choice of at least one MCO/PIHP/PAHP/PCCM with a participating FQHC:
The program is mandatory and the enrollee has the right to obtain FQHC services outside this waiver program through the regular Medicaid Program.
FQHC Services Category General Comments (optional):
FQHC services are not included in the Family Care benefit. An enrollee may obtain FQHC services through the regular Medicaid Program while enrolled in this waiver program. 5. EPSDT Requirements.
☐ The managed care programs(s) will comply with the relevant requirements of sections 1905(a)(4)(b) (services), 1902(a)(43) (administrative requirements including informing, reporting, etc.), and 1905(r) (definition) of the Act related to Early, Periodic Screening, Diagnosis, and Treatment (EPSDT) program.
EPSDT Requirements Category General Comments (optional):
EPSDT services are not included under the waiver.
Section A: Program Description
Part I: Program Overview
F. Services (4 of 5)
6. 1915(b)(3) Services.
☐ This waiver includes 1915(b)(3) expenditures. The services must be for medical or health-related care, or other services as described in 42 CFR Part 440, and are subject to CMS approval. Please describe below what these expenditures are for each waiver program that offers them. Include a description of the populations eligible, provider type, geographic availability, and reimbursement method.
1915(b)(3) Services Requirements Category General Comments:
7. Self-referrals.
☐ The State requires MCOs/PIHPs/PAHPs/PCCMs to allow enrollees to self-refer (i.e. access without prior
authorization) under the following circumstances or to the following subset of services in the MCO/PIHP/PAHP/PCCM contract:
Self-referrals Requirements Category General Comments:

https://wms-mmdl.cdsvdc.com/WMS/faces/protected/cms1915b/v0/print/PrintSele... 12/5/2016

8. Other.
Other (Please describe)
Section A: Program Description
Part I: Program Overview
F. Services (5 of 5)
Additional Information. Please enter any additional information not included in previous pages: Family planning and emergency services are covered by the Medicaid State Plan available FFS.
Prescription drugs are carved out of the Family Care benefit.
Section A: Program Description
Part II: Access
A. Timely Access Standards (1 of 7)
Each State must ensure that all services covered under the State plan are available and accessible to enrollees of the 1915(b) Waiver Program. Section 1915(b) of the Act prohibits restrictions on beneficiaries' access to emergency services and family planning services.
1. Assurances for MCO, PIHP, or PAHP programs
 The State assures CMS that it complies with section 1932(c)(1)(A)(i) of the Act and 42 CFR 438.206 Availability of Services; in so far as these requirements are applicable. The State seeks a waiver of section 1902(a)(4) of the Act, to waive one or more of the regulatory requirements listed for PIHP or PAHP programs.
Please identify each regulatory requirement for which a waiver is requested, the managed care program(s) to which the waiver will apply, and what the State proposes as an alternative requirement, if any:
The CMS Regional Office has reviewed and approved the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP contracts for compliance with the provisions of section 1932(c)(1)(A)(i) of the Act and 42 CFR 438.206 Availability of Services. If this is an initial waiver, the State assures that contracts that comply with these provisions will be submitted to the CMS Regional Office for approval prior to enrollment of beneficiaries in the MCO, PIHP, PAHP, or PCCM.
If the 1915(b) Waiver Program does not include a PCCM component, please continue with Part II.B. Capacity Standards.
Section A: Program Description
Part II: Access
A. Timely Access Standards (2 of 7)

Print application selector for 1915(b)Waiver: Draft WI.048.06.03 - Jul 01, 2017 Page 17 of 75

۷.	bel	ow tl	ne activities the State uses to assure timely access to services. Standards. The State's PCCM Program includes established maximum distance and/or travel
	tim	ne re Ilowi	quirements, given beneficiary's normal means of transportation, for waiver enrollees' access to the ng providers. For each provider type checked, please describe the standard. PCPs
			Please describe:
	2.		Specialists
			Please describe:
	3.		Ancillary providers
			Please describe:
	4.		Dental
			Please describe:
	5.		Hospitals
			Please describe:
	6.		Mental Health
			Please describe:
	7.		Pharmacies
			Please describe:
	8.		Substance Abuse Treatment Providers
	Ο.		Please describe:
			i idade describe.
	9.		Other providers
	٠.		Please describe:

Section A: Program Description Part II: Access A. Timely Access Standards (3 of 7) 2. Details for PCCM program. (Continued) b. Appointment Schedulingmeans the time before an enrollee can acquire an appointment with his or her provider for both urgent and routine visits. The State's PCCM Program includes established standards for appointment scheduling for waiver enrollee's access to the following providers. 1. PCPs Please describe: 2. Specialists Please describe: **Ancillary providers** Please describe: 4. Dental Please describe: Mental Health Please describe: 6. Substance Abuse Treatment Providers Please describe: 7. Urgent care Please describe: 8. Other providers

Print application selector for 1915(b)Waiver: Draft WI.048.06.03 - Jul 01, 2017 Page 19 of 75

Print application selector for 1915(b)Waiver: Draft WI.048.06.03 - Jul 01, 2017 Page 20 of 75 Please describe: Section A: Program Description Part II: Access A. Timely Access Standards (4 of 7) 2. Details for PCCM program. (Continued) times. For each provider type checked, please describe the standard. 1. PCPs Please describe: ¬ Specialists Please describe: 3. Ancillary providers Please describe: 4. Dental Please describe: Mental Health Please describe: Substance Abuse Treatment Providers Please describe: 7. Other providers Please describe:

Print application selector for 1915(b)Waiver: Draft WI.048.06.03 - Jul 01, 2017

Page 21 of 75

If the 1915(b) Waiver Program does not include a PCCM component, please continue with Part II, C. Coordination and Continuity of Care Standards.

PCCM.

Section A: Pro	ram Description
Part II: Acces	
B. Capacity Sta	ndards (2 of 6)
	PCCM program. The State must assure that Waiver Program enrollees have reasonable access to services below which of the strategies the State uses assure adequate provider capacity in the PCCM program. The State has set enrollment limits for each PCCM primary care provider.
	Please describe the enrollment limits and how each is determined:
b. 🗌	The State ensures that there are adequate number of PCCM PCPs with open panels.
	Please describe the State's standard:
C	The State ensures that there is an adequate number of PCCM PCPs under the waiver assure access to all services covered under the Waiver.
	Please describe the State's standard for adequate PCP capacity:
Section A: Pro	ram Description
Part II: Acces	
B. Capacity Sta	ndards (3 of 6)
2. Details for d.	PCCM program. (Continued) The State compares numbers of providers before and during the Waiver.
	Provider Type # Before Waiver # in Current Waiver # Expected in Renewal
	Please note any limitations to the data in the chart above:
е.	The State ensures adequate geographic distribution of PCCMs.
	Please describe the State's standard:
Section A: Pro	ram Description
Part II: Acces	
B. Capacity Sta	ndards (4 of 6)
	PCCM program. (Continued) PCP:Enrollee Ratio. The State establishes standards for PCP to enrollee ratios.
_	

	Area/(City/County/Region)	PCCM-to-Enrollee Ratio			
	Please note any changes that will occur due to the use of physician extenders.:				
g	Other capacity standards.				
	Please describe:				
Section A: Prog	gram Description				
Part II: Access	S				
B. Capacity Sta	andards (5 of 6)				
has not beer analysis of t non-emerge	n negatively impacted by the selective contracting the number of beds (by type, per facility) – for fa	s: Please describe how the State assures provider capacity g program. Also, please provide a detailed capacity acility programs, or vehicles (by type, per contractor) – for to assure sufficient capacity under the waiver program. ilization expected under the waiver.			
Section A: Prog	gram Description				
Part II: Access	S				
B. Capacity Sta	andards (6 of 6)				
Additional Inform	nation. Please enter any additional information no	ot included in previous pages:			
Section A: Pro	gram Description				
Part II: Access	S				
C. Coordinatio	n and Continuity of Care Standards (1	of 5)			
1. Assurances	s for MCO, PIHP, or PAHP programs				
A	vailability of Services; in so far as these requirem	02(a)(4) of the Act, to waive one or more of more of the			
	lease identify each regulatory requirement for who which the waiver will apply, and what the State	nich a waiver is requested, the managed care program(s) proposes as an alternative requirement, if any:			
↓ X Th	he CMS Regional Office has reviewed and appro	ved the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP contracts for compliance			
		the Act and 42 CFR 438.206 Availability of Services. If racts that comply with these provisions will be submitted to			

the CMS Regional Office for approval prior to enrollment of beneficiaries in the MCO, PIHP, PAHP, or PCCM.

Section A: Program Description

Part II: Access C. Coordination and Continuity of Care Standards (2 of 5) 2. Details on MCO/PIHP/PAHP enrollees with special health care needs. The following items are required. a. The plan is a PIHP/PAHP, and the State has determined that based on the plan's scope of services, and how the State has organized the delivery system, that the PIHP/PAHP need not meet the requirements for additional services for enrollees with special health care needs in 42 CFR 438.208. Please provide justification for this determination: The State defines "persons with special health care needs" to mean any individual who is a frail elder or an adult with an intellectual disability or physical disability. Since all persons enrolled in a Family Care PIHP are "persons with special health care needs," there is no need for the PIHP to implement a process to identify "persons with special health care needs." In addition, since primary and acute health care services are carved out of the Family Care PIHP contract, there is no need for the PIHP to implement a process to assure that it effectively provides those services to "persons with special health care needs." The PIHP is required by contract to coordinate with providers that deliver primary and acute health care services to its enrollees. Identification. The State has a mechanism to identify persons with special health care needs to MCOs, b. PIHPs, and PAHPs, as those persons are defined by the State. Please describe: Assessment. Each MCO/PIHP/PAHP will implement mechanisms, using appropriate health care professionals, to assess each enrollee identified by the State to identify any ongoing special conditions that require a course of treatment or regular care monitoring. Please describe: Please describe the enrollment limits and how each is determined: Treatment Plans. For enrollees with special health care needs who need a course of treatment or regular care monitoring, the State requires the MCO/PIHP/PAHP to produce a treatment plan.If so, the treatment plan meets the following requirements: Developed by enrollees' primary care provider with enrollee participation, and in consultation with any specialists' care for the enrollee. Approved by the MCO/PIHP/PAHP in a timely manner (if approval required by plan). In accord with any applicable State quality assurance and utilization review standards. Please describe: Direct access to specialists. If treatment plan or regular care monitoring is in place, the MCO/PIHP/PAHP has a mechanism in place to allow enrollees to directly access specialists as appropriate for enrollee's condition and identified needs.

Print application selector for 1915(b)Waiver: Draft WI.048.06.03 - Jul 01, 2017

Page 25 of 75

Print application selector for 1915(b)Waiver: Draft WI.048.06.03 - Jul 01, 2017 Page 26 of 75 Section A: Program Description Part II: Access C. Coordination and Continuity of Care Standards (5 of 5) Additional Information. Please enter any additional information not included in previous pages: Section A: Program Description Part III: Quality 1. Assurances for MCO or PIHP programs |X| The State assures CMS that it complies with section 1932(c)(1)(A)(iii)-(iv) of the Act and 42 CFR 438.202, 438.204, 438.210, 438.214, 438.218, 438.224, 438.226, 438.228, 438.230, 438.236, 438.240, and 438.242 in so far as these regulations are applicable. The State seeks a waiver of section 1902(a)(4) of the Act, to waive one or more of the regulatory requirements listed for PIHP programs. Please identify each regulatory requirement for which a waiver is requested, the managed care program(s) to which the waiver will apply, and what the State proposes as an alternative requirement, if any: |X| The CMS Regional Office has reviewed and approved the MCO, PIHP, or PAHP contracts for compliance with the provisions of section 1932(c)(1)(A)(iii)-(iv) of the Act and 42 CFR 438.202, 438.204, 438.210, 438.214, 438.218, 438.224, 438.226, 438.228, 438.230, 438.236, 438.240, and 438.242. If this is an initial waiver, the State assures that contracts that comply with these provisions will be submitted to the CMS Regional Office for approval prior to enrollment of beneficiaries in the MCO, PIHP, PAHP, or PCCM. X Section 1932(c)(1)(A)(iii)-(iv) of the Act and 42 CFR 438.202 requires that each State Medicaid agency that contracts with MCOs and PIHPs submit to CMS a written strategy for assessing and improving the quality of managed care services offered by all MCOs and PIHPs. The State assures CMS that this quality strategy was initially submitted to the CMS Regional Office on: 10/02/14 (mm/dd/yy) |X| The State assures CMS that it complies with section 1932(c)(2) of the Act and 42 CFR 438 Subpart E, to arrange for an annual, independent, external quality review of the outcomes and timeliness of, and access to the services delivered under each MCO/PIHP contract. Note: EQR for PIHPs is required beginning March 2004. Please provide the information below (modify chart as necessary): Activities Conducted

	Nome of	Activities Conducted			
Program Type	Name of Organization		Mandatory Activities	Optional Activities	
мсо					
PIHP	MetaStar, Inc.	X	x	X	

Section A: Program Description

Part III: Quality

2. Assurances For PAHP program

438.21 applica The Starequire	ate assures CMS that it complies with section 1932(c)(1)(A)(iii)-(iv) of the Act and 42 CFR 438.210, 4, 438.218, 438.224, 438.226, 438.228, 438.230 and 438.236, in so far as these regulations are able. ate seeks a waiver of section 1902(a)(4) of the Act, to waive one or more of the regulatory ements listed for PAHP programs. Identify each regulatory requirement for which a waiver is requested, the managed care program(s) the the waiver will apply, and what the State proposes as an alternative requirement, if any:
provision 438.22 comply	MS Regional Office has reviewed and approved the PAHP contracts for compliance with the ons of section 1932(c) (1)(A)(iii)-(iv) of the Act and 42 CFR 438.210, 438.214, 438.218, 438.224, 6, 438.228, 438.230 and 438.236. If this is an initial waiver, the State assures that contracts that with these provisions will be submitted to the CMS Regional Office for approval prior to enrollment efficiaries in the MCO, PIHP, PAHP, or PCCM.
Section A: Program	n Description
Part III: Quality	
services of adequ program.	M program. The State must assure that Waiver Program enrollees have access to medically necessary late quality. Please note below the strategies the State uses to assure quality of care in the PCCM State has developed a set of overall quality improvement guidelines for its PCCM program.
Plea	se describe:
Section A: Progran	n Description
Part III: Quality	
b. State intermediate intermediate intermediate.	M program. (Continued) Intervention: If a problem is identified regarding the quality of services received, the State will were as indicated below. Provide education and informal mailings to beneficiaries and PCCMs Initiate telephone and/or mail inquiries and follow-up Request PCCM's response to identified problems Refer to program staff for further investigation Send warning letters to PCCMs Refer to State's medical staff for investigation Institute corrective action plans and follow-up Change an enrollee's PCCM Institute a restriction on the types of enrollees Further limit the number of assignments Ban new assignments Transfer some or all assignments to different PCCMs Suspend or terminate PCCM agreement Suspend or terminate as Medicaid providers Other

Print application selector for 1915(b)Waiver: Draft WI.048.06.03 - Jul 01, 2017 Page 27 of 75

Please explain: Section A: Program Description Part III: Quality Details for PCCM program. (Continued) Selection and Retention of Providers: This section provides the State the opportunity to describe any requirements, policies or procedures it has in place to allow for the review and documentation of qualifications and other relevant information pertaining to a provider who seeks a contract with the State or PCCM administrator as a PCCM. This section is required if the State has applied for a 1915(b)(4) waiver that will be applicable to the PCCM program. Please check any processes or procedures listed below that the State uses in the process of selecting and retaining PCCMs. The State (please check all that apply): Has a documented process for selection and retention of PCCMs (please submit a copy of that documentation). 2. Has an initial credentialing process for PCCMs that is based on a written application and site visits as appropriate, as well as primary source verification of licensure, disciplinary status, and eligibility for payment under Medicaid. Has a recredentialing process for PCCMs that is accomplished within the time frame set by the State and through a process that updates information obtained through the following (check all that apply): A. Initial credentialing Performance measures, including those obtained through the following (check all that The utilization management system. The complaint and appeals system. Enrollee surveys. Other. Please describe: Uses formal selection and retention criteria that do not discriminate against particular providers such as those who serve high risk populations or specialize in conditions that require costly treatment. Has an initial and recredentialing process for PCCMs other than individual practitioners (e.g., rural health clinics, federally qualified health centers) to ensure that they are and remain in compliance with any Federal or State requirements (e.g., licensure). Notifies licensing and/or disciplinary bodies or other appropriate authorities when suspensions or terminations of PCCMs take place because of quality deficiencies. Other 7. Please explain:

Print application selector for 1915(b)Waiver: Draft WI.048.06.03 - Jul 01, 2017

Page 28 of 75

Section A: Program Description Part III: Quality 3. Details for PCCM program. (Continued) d. Other quality standards (please describe): Section A: Program Description Part III: Quality 4. Details for 1915(b)(4) only programs: Please describe how the State assures quality in the services that are covered by the selective contracting program. Please describe the provider selection process, including the criteria used to select the providers under the waiver. These include quality and performance standards that the providers must meet. Please also describe how each criteria is weighted: Section A: Program Description Part IV: Program Operations A. Marketing (1 of 4) 1. Assurances |X| The State assures CMS that it complies with section 1932(d)(2) of the Act and 42 CFR 438.104 Marketing activities; in so far as these regulations are applicable. The State seeks a waiver of section 1902(a)(4) of the Act, to waive one or more of the regulatory requirements listed for PIHP or PAHP programs. Please identify each regulatory requirement for which a waiver is requested, the managed care program(s) to which the waiver will apply, and what the State proposes as an alternative requirement, if any: |X| The CMS Regional Office has reviewed and approved the MCO, PIHP, PAHP, or PCCM contracts for compliance with the provisions of section 1932(d)(2) of the Act and 42 CFR 438.104 Marketing activities. If this is an initial waiver, the State assures that contracts that comply with these provisions will be submitted to the CMS Regional Office for approval prior to enrollment of beneficiaries in the MCO, PIHP, PAHP, or PCCM. This is a proposal for a 1915(b)(4) FFS Selective Contracting Program only and the managed care regulations do not apply. Section A: Program Description Part IV: Program Operations A. Marketing (2 of 4) 2. Details

Print application selector for 1915(b)Waiver: Draft WI.048.06.03 - Jul 01, 2017

Page 29 of 75

a. Scope of Marketing

Print application selector for 1915(b)Waiver: Draft WI.048.06.03 - Jul 01, 2017 Page 30 of 75

Print application selector for 1915(b)Waiver: Draft WI.048.06.03 - Jul 01, 2017 Page 31 of 75 Please describe the methodology for determining prevalent languages: b. The languages comprise all languages in the service area spoken by approximately 5 percent or more of the population. Other C. Please explain: Section A: Program Description Part IV: Program Operations A. Marketing (4 of 4) Additional Information. Please enter any additional information not included in previous pages: SMA reviews and approves the PIHPs' marketing plans and all marketing materials. PIHPs Marketing Plans must be submitted for initial certification and during annual certification if there has been a material change since last approved by the SMA. The standards for certification are set forth by rule at DHS 10.43 in the Wisconsin Administrative Code. All marketing/outreach materials must be approved by the SMA prior to distribution, per SMA PIHP Contract, Article IX, Marketing and Member Materials. Section A: Program Description Part IV: Program Operations B. Information to Potential Enrollees and Enrollees (1 of 5) Assurances x The State assures CMS that it complies with Federal Regulations found at section 1932(a)(5) of the Act and 42 CFR 438.10 Information requirements; in so far as these regulations are applicable. The State seeks a waiver of a waiver of section 1902(a)(4) of the Act, to waive one or more of more of the regulatory requirements listed above for PIHP or PAHP programs. Please identify each regulatory requirement for which a waiver is requested, the managed care program(s) to which the waiver will apply, and what the State proposes as an alternative requirement, if any: The CMS Regional Office has reviewed and approved the MCO, PIHP, PAHP, or PCCM contracts for compliance with the provisions of section 1932(a)(5) of the Act and 42 CFR 438.10 Information requirements. If this is an initial waiver, the State assures that contracts that comply with these provisions

This is a proposal for a 1915(b)(4) FFS Selective Contracting Program only and the managed care

PIHP, PAHP, or PCCM.

regulations do not apply.

will be submitted to the CMS Regional Office for approval prior to enrollment of beneficiaries in the MCO,

Section A: Program Description

Part	\/·	Progr	am O	peration	nns
ıaıı	IV.	I IUgi		peranc	ரால

B. Information to Potential Enrollees and Enrollees (2 of 5)

2. Details

2	Non.	.Enal	lich	lano	uages
a.	INOII:	·Eng	11511	Land	luaues

1.	X	Potential	enrollee	and enrollee	materials v	will l	be translated	into the	prevalent	non-Er	ıglish
		languag	es.								

Please list languages materials will be translated into. (If the State does not require written materials to be translated, please explain):

The State defines prevalent non-English languages as the languages spoken by approximately five percent or more of the potential enrollee/ enrollee population in the PIHP's geographic service area. This varies across service areas.

If the State does not translate or require the translation of marketing materials, please explain:

The Sta	ate define	s prevalent	non-English	languages a	s: (checl	k any that	apply):		
a.	The	languages	spoken by si	ignificant nui	mber of	potential	enrollees	and enrol	llees

Please explain how the State defines "significant.":						

b.	x The languages spoken by approximately	5.00 percent or more of the
	potential enrollee/enrollee population.	

c. Other

Please explain:

2. ** Please describe how oral translation services are available to all potential enrollees and enrollees, regardless of language spoken.

Live oral translators are contracted for by the PIHPs and Aging and Disability Resource Centers for prevalent languages. A telephonic translation service is available for other languages.

3. The State will have a mechanism in place to help enrollees and potential enrollees understand the managed care program.

Please describe:

The Aging and Disability Resource Center as part of options counseling assists enrollees and potential enrollees to understand managed care in general and the Family Care program in particular.

Section A: Program Description

Part IV: Program Operations

B. Information to Potential Enrollees and Enrollees (3 of 5)

2. Details (Continued)

Part IV: Program Operations

C. Enrollment and Disenrollment (1 of 6)

Assurances

★ The State assures CMS that it complies with section 1932(a)(4) of the Act and 42 CFR 438.56 Disenrollment; in so far as these regulations are applicable.

The State seeks a waiver of section 1902(a)(4) of the Act, to waive one or more of the regulatory requirements listed for PIHP or PAHP programs. (Please check this item if the State has requested a waiver of the choice of plan requirements in section A.I.C.) Please identify each regulatory requirement for which a waiver is requested, the managed care program(s) to which the waiver will apply, and what the State proposes as an alternative requirement, if any: The CMS Regional Office has reviewed and approved the MCO, PIHP, PAHP, or PCCM contracts for compliance with the provisions of section 1932(a)(4) of the Act and 42 CFR 438.56 Disenrollment requirements. If this is an initial waiver, the State assures that contracts that comply with these provisions will be submitted to the CMS Regional Office for approval prior to enrollment of beneficiaries in the MCO, PIHP, PAHP, or PCCM. This is a proposal for a 1915(b)(4) FFS Selective Contracting Program only and the managed care regulations do not apply. Section A: Program Description Part IV: Program Operations C. Enrollment and Disenrollment (2 of 6) 2. Details Please describe the State's enrollment process for MCOs/PIHPs/PAHP/PCCMs and FFS selective contracting provider by checking the applicable items below. a. Outreach The State conducts outreach to inform potential enrollees, providers, and other interested parties of the managed care program. Please describe the outreach process, and specify any special efforts made to reach and provide information to special populations included in the waiver program: The State contracts with Aging and Disability Resource Centers in each service area to serve as the single entry point for information and assistance on long-term care and other issues affecting older people, people with disabilities, or their families. ADRCs provide public information and education, outreach, information and assistance, benefit specialist services, long-term care options counseling and referral to appropriate LTC programs or providers, Family Care functional eligibility determination and level of care assessments using the State-developed automated long-term care functional screening tool, and coordination of the Family Care eligibility and enrollment processes. Section A: Program Description Part IV: Program Operations C. Enrollment and Disenrollment (3 of 6) 2. Details (Continued) b. Administration of Enrollment Process State staff conducts the enrollment process. The State contracts with an independent contractor(s) (i.e., enrollment broker) to conduct the enrollment process and related activities. The State assures CMS the enrollment broker contract meets the independence and freedom from conflict of interest requirements in section 1903(b) of the Act and 42 CFR 438.810.

Print application selector for 1915(b)Waiver: Draft WI.048.06.03 - Jul 01, 2017

Page 34 of 75

Broker name: Aging and Disability Resource Centers Please list the functions that the contractor will perform: choice counseling enrollment other
Please describe: The ADRC and county economic support unit each participate in the enrollment process. The ADRC has primary responsibility for developing an access plan for the local service area, which identifies the specific procedures these entities will follow to ensure timely and appropriate access to the Family Care benefit. In general terms, the roles of the
entities are as follows: ADRCs provide public information and education, outreach, information and assistance, benefit specialist services, long-term care options counseling and referral to appropriate LTC programs or providers, Family Care functional eligibility determination and level of care assessment using the State-developed automated long-term care functional screening tool, and coordination of the Family Care eligibility and enrollment processes. ADRCs provide unbiased enrollment consultation and information to anyone considering enrollment in Family Care so that they can make informed enrollment choices.
Income maintenance agencies determine financial eligibility for Medicaid and Family Care using the State-developed automated CARES system, and process enrollments into Family Care. State allows MCO/PIHP/PAHP or PCCM to enroll beneficiaries.
Please describe the process:
Section A: Program Description
Part IV: Program Operations
C. Enrollment and Disenrollment (4 of 6)
2. Details (Continued)
c. Enrollment . The State has indicated which populations are mandatorily enrolled and which may enroll on a voluntary basis in Section A.I.E.
☐ This is a new program.
Please describe the implementation schedule (e.g. implemented statewide all at once; phased in by area; phased in by population, etc.):
This is an existing program that will be expanded during the renewal period.
Please describe: Please describe the implementation schedule (e.g. new population implemented statewide all at once; phased in by area; phased in by population, etc.):
See Implementation Schedule in Section A Part I D.2.d. If a potential enrollee does not select an MCO/PIHP/PAHP or PCCM within the given time frame, the
potential enrollee will be auto-assigned or default assigned to a plan.

□ Potential enrollees will have	\vee e day(s) / \vee month(s) to choose a plan.
ii. There is an auto-assignment prod	cess or algorithm.
assignment process assigns pers	e the factors considered and whether or not the auto- sons with special health care needs to an is their current provider or who is capable of serving their
☐ The State automatically enrolls beneficiarie	es.
	D, PIHP, or PAHP in a rural area (please also check item
A.I.C.3).	or PAHP for which it has requested a waiver of the
requirement of choice of plans (please a	also check item A.I.C.1).
	, PIHP, or PAHP. The State must first offer the beneficiary a se, the State may enroll the beneficiary as long as the lout cause.
Please specify geographic areas where	this occurs:
 The State provides guaranteed eligibility of MCO/PCCM enrollees under the State plan. The State allows otherwise mandated benefit MCO/PIHP/PAHP/PCCM. 	
Please describe the circumstances under whi enrollment. In addition, please describe the	ich a beneficiary would be eligible for exemption from exemption process:
The State automatically re-enrolls a benefic loss of Medicaid eligibility of 2 months or le	ciary with the same PCCM or MCO/PIHP/PAHP if there is a ess.
Section A: Program Description	
Part IV: Program Operations	
C. Enrollment and Disenrollment (5 of 6)	
2. Details (Continued)	
d. Disenrollment	
Regardless of whether plan or State makes the first day of the second month following the redetermination is not made within this time from i. In a Enrollee submits request to State ii. In a Enrollee submits request to MCO	
	ugh MCO/PIHP/PAHP/PCCM grievance procedure before

			poes not permit disenrollment from a single PIHP/PAHP (authority under 1902 (a)(4) ust be requested), or from an MCO, PIHP, or PAHP in a rural area.
			as a lock-in period (i.e. requires continuous enrollment with MCO/PIHP/PAHP/PCCM) of
	CFR 43	38.5	months (up to 12 months permitted). If so, the State assures it meets the requirements of 42 6(c).
	in perio	d (ir	ribe the good cause reasons for which an enrollee may request disenrollment during the lock- n addition to required good cause reasons of poor quality of care, lack of access to covered d lack of access to providers experienced in dealing with enrollee's health care needs):
X			bes not have a lock-in, and enrollees in MCOs/PIHPs/PAHPs and PCCMs are allowed to
	later tha	an th	r change their enrollment without cause at any time. The disenrollment/transfer is effective no e first day of the second month following the request. ermits MCOs/PIHPs/PAHPs and PCCMs to request disenrollment of enrollees.
X	i.		MCO/PIHP/PAHP and PCCM can request reassignment of an enrollee.
	1.	X	WOO/FILIF/FALIF and FOOM carriequestreassignment of an emolinee.
			Please describe the reasons for which enrollees can request reassignment
			The PIHP can request reassignment of an enrollee for the following reasons: member refusal to participate in care planning or refusal to allow care management contacts.
			If a PIHP initiated request for disenrollment is approved by the Department, the Department's contract coordinator assigned to the PIHP sets the disenrollment date and notifies the county income maintenance agency to process the disenrollment in CARES. The process includes automatic generation of a written notice to the person disenrolled. A disenrollment date due to inability to assure health and safety is set according to adverse action logic built into CARES. A disenrollment date due to member acts that pose a threat to health and safety are established and processed immediately according to the date set by the Department. (Note: To date, there have been no PIHP-initiated disenrollments approved by the State due to inability to assure health and safety or due to member acts that pose a threat to health and safety.)
	ii.	x	The State reviews and approves all MCO/PIHP/PAHP/PCCM-initiated requests for enrollee
			transfers or disenrollments.
	iii.		If the reassignment is approved, the State notifies the enrollee in a direct and timely manner of the desire of the MCO/PIHP/PAHP/PCCM to remove the enrollee from its membership or
	iv.	X	from the PCCM's caseload. The enrollee remains an enrollee of the MCO/PIHP/PAHP/PCCM until another MCO/PIHP/PAHP/PCCM is chosen or assigned.

Section A: Program Description

Part IV: Program Operations

C. Enrollment and Disenrollment (6 of 6)

Additional Information. Please enter any additional information not included in previous pages:

Disenrollments are managed through the ADRC using a consistent state-approved process. The PIHP can assist the member in starting this process as well. People are permitted to move freely between long term support programs; therefore, requests are approved.

Section A: Program Description

Part IV: Program Operations

D. Enrollee Rights (1 of 2)

1	Assu	ırar	ces

 The State assures CMS that it complies with section 1932(a)(5)(B)(ii) of the Act and 42 CFR 438 Subpart C Enrollee Rights and Protections. The State seeks a waiver of section 1902(a)(4) of the Act, to waive one or more of the regulatory requirements listed for PIHP or PAHP programs.
Please identify each regulatory requirement for which a waiver is requested, the managed care program(s) to which the waiver will apply, and what the State proposes as an alternative requirement, if any:
The CMS Regional Office has reviewed and approved the MCO, PIHP, PAHP, or PCCM contracts for
compliance with the provisions of section 1932(a)(5)(B)(ii) of the Act and 42 CFR Subpart C Enrollee Right and Protections. If this is an initial waiver, the State assures that contracts that comply with these provisions will be submitted to the CMS Regional Office for approval prior to enrollment of beneficiaries in the MCO, PIHP, PAHP, or PCCM.
This is a proposal for a 1915(b)(4) FFS Selective Contracting Program only and the managed care regulations do not apply.
The State assures CMS it will satisfy all HIPAA Privacy standards as contained in the HIPAA rules found at 45 CFR Parts 160 and 164.
Section A: Program Description
Part IV: Program Operations
D. Enrollee Rights (2 of 2)
Additional Information. Please enter any additional information not included in previous pages:
Section A: Program Description
Part IV: Program Operations

E. Grievance System (1 of 5)

1 Assurances for All Drograms States MCOs D

- Assurances for All Programs States, MCOs, PIHPs, PAHPs, and States in PCCM and FFS selective contracting
 programs are required to provide Medicaid enrollees with access to the State fair hearing process as required under 42
 CFR 431 Subpart E, including:
 - a. informing Medicaid enrollees about their fair hearing rights in a manner that assures notice at the time of an action,
 - b. ensuring that enrollees may request continuation of benefits during a course of treatment during an appeal or reinstatement of services if State takes action without the advance notice and as required in accordance with State Policy consistent with fair hearings. The State must also inform enrollees of the procedures by which benefits can be continued for reinstated, and
 - c. other requirements for fair hearings found in 42 CFR 431, Subpart E.
 - The State assures CMS that it complies with section 1932(a)(4) of the Act and 42 CFR 438.56 Disenrollment; in so far as these regulations are applicable.

Section A: Program Description

Part IV: Program Operations

E. Grievance System (2 of 5)

Section A: Program Description

Part IV: Program Operations

E. Grievance System (4 of 5)

4. Optional grievance systems for PCCM and PAHP programs. States, at their option, may operate a PCCM and/or PAHP grievance procedure (distinct from the fair hearing process) administered by the State agency or the PCCM and/or PAHP that provides for prompt resolution of issues. These grievance procedures are strictly voluntary and may not interfere with a PCCM, or PAHP enrollee's freedom to make a request for a fair hearing or a PCCM or PAHP enrollee's direct access to a fair hearing in instances involving terminations, reductions, and suspensions of already authorized Medicaid covered services.

The State has a grievance procedure for its PCCM and/or PAHP program characterized by the following (please check any of the following optional procedures that apply to the optional PCCM/PAHP grievance procedure):
The grievance procedures are operated by: the State
the State's contractor.
Please identify:
the PCCM
the PAHP
Requests for review can be made in the PCCM and/or PAHP grievance system (e.g. grievance, appeals):
Please describe:
Has a committee or staff who review and resolve requests for review.
Please describe if the State has any specific committee or staff composition or if this is a fiscal agent, enrollment broker, or PCCM administrator function:
Specifies a time frame from the date of action for the enrollee to file a request for review.
Please specify the time frame for each type of request for review:
Has time frames for resolving requests for review.
Specify the time period set for each type of request for review:
Establishes and maintains an expedited review process.
Please explain the reasons for the process and specify the time frame set by the State for this process:
Permits enrollees to appear before State PCCM/PAHP personnel responsible for resolving the request for review.
Notifies the enrollee in writing of the decision and any further opportunities for additional review, as well as the
procedures available to challenge the decision. Other.
Please explain:

Print application selector for 1915(b)Waiver: Draft WI.048.06.03 - Jul 01, 2017 Page 40 of 75

Section A: Program Description

Part IV: Program Operations

E. Grievance System (5 of 5)

Additional Information. Please enter any additional information not included in previous pages:

Section A: Program Description

Part IV: Program Operations

F. Program Integrity (1 of 3)

1. Assurances

- X The State assures CMS that it complies with section 1932(d)(1) of the Act and 42 CFR 438.610 Prohibited Affiliations with Individuals Barred by Federal Agencies. The State assures that it prohibits an MCO, PCCM, PIHP, or PAHP from knowingly having a relationship listed below with:
 - An individual who is debarred, suspended, or otherwise excluded from participating in procurement activities under the Federal Acquisition Regulation or from participating in nonprocurement activities under regulations issued under Executive Order No. 12549 or under guidelines implementing Executive Order No. 12549, or
 - An individual who is an affiliate, as defined in the Federal Acquisition Regulation, of a person described above.

The prohibited relationships are:

- 1. A director, officer, or partner of the MCO, PCCM, PIHP, or PAHP;
- 2. A person with beneficial ownership of five percent or more of the MCO's, PCCM's, PIHP's, or PAHP's equity;
- 3. A person with an employment, consulting or other arrangement with the MCO, PCCM, PIHP, or PAHP for the provision of items and services that are significant and material to the MCO's, PCCM's, PIHP's, or PAHP's obligations under its contract with the State.
- X The State assures that it complies with section 1902(p)(2) and 42 CFR 431.55, which require section 1915(b) waiver programs to exclude entities that:

Clould be excluded under section 1128(b)(8) of the Act as being controlled by a sanctioned individual:

Has a substantial contractual relationship (direct or indirect) with an individual convicted of certain crimes described in section 1128(b)(8)(B) of the Act;

Employs or contracts directly or indirectly with an individual or entity that is paecluded from furnishing health care, utilization review, medical social services, or administrative services pursuant to section 1128 or 1128A of the Act, or dould be exclude under 1128(b)(8) as being controlled by a sanctioned individual.

Section A: Program Description

Part IV: Program Operations

F. Program Integrity (2 of 3)

2. Assurances For MCO or PIHP programs

- The State assures CMS that it complies with section 1932(d)(1) of the Act and 42 CFR 438.608 Program Integrity Requirements, in so far as these regulations are applicable.
- State payments to an MCO or PIHP are based on data submitted by the MCO or PIHP. If so, the State assures CMS that it is in compliance with 42 CFR 438.604 Data that must be Certified, and 42 CFR 438.606 Source, Content, Timing of Certification.

The State seeks a waiver of section 1902(a)(4) of the Act, to waive one or more of the regulatory requirements listed for PIHP or PAHP programs.

Please identify each regulatory requirement for which a waiver is requested, the managed care program(s) to which the waiver will apply, and what the State proposes as an alternative requirement, if any:

| X | The CMS Regional Office has reviewed and approved the MCO or PIHP contracts for compliance with the provisions of section 1932(d)(1) of the Act and 42 CFR 438.604 Data that must be Certified; 438.606 Source, Content, Timing of Certification; and 438.608 Program Integrity Requirements. If this is an initial waiver, the State assures that contracts that comply with these provisions will be submitted to the CMS Regional Office for approval prior to enrollment of beneficiaries in the MCO, PIHP, PAHP, or PCCM.

Section A: Program Description

Part IV: Program Operations

F. Program Integrity (3 of 3)

Additional Information. Please enter any additional information not included in previous pages:

Section B: Monitoring Plan

Page 42 of 75

Print application selector for 1915(b)Waiver: Draft WI.048.06.03 - Jul 01, 2017

Part I: Summary Chart of Monitoring Activities

Summary of Monitoring Activities (1 of 3)

The charts in this section summarize the activities used to monitor major areas of the waiver program. The purpose is to provide a "big picture" of the monitoring activities, and that the State has at least one activity in place to monitor each of the areas of the waiver that must be monitored.

Please note:

- MCO, PIHP, and PAHP programs:
 - There must be at least one checkmark in each column.
- PCCM and FFS selective contracting programs:
 - There must be at least one checkmark in <u>each column</u> under "Evaluation of Program Impact."
 - There must be at least one check mark in one of the three columns under "Evaluation of Access."
 - There must be at least one check mark in one of the three columns under "Evaluation of Quality."

Summary of Monitoring Activities: Evaluation of Program Impact

Cultilliary of Mornicolling 11	outilitary of Montolling Addivides. Evaluation of Frogram impact						
Evaluation of Program Impact							
Monitoring Activity	Choice	Marketing	Enroll Disenroll	Program Integrity	Information to Beneficiaries	Grievance	
Accreditation for Non- duplication	MCO PIHP PAHP PCCM FFS	MCO PIHP PAHP PCCM FFS	MCO PIHP PAHP PCCM FFS	MCO PIHP PAHP PCCM FFS	MCO PIHP PAHP PCCM FFS	MCO PIHP PAHP PCCM FFS	
Accreditation for Participation	MCO PIHP PAHP PCCM	MCO PIHP PAHP PCCM	MCO PIHP PAHP PCCM	MCO PIHP PAHP PCCM	MCO PIHP PAHP PCCM	MCO PIHP PAHP PCCM	

		Evaluation of F	Program Impact	<u> </u>		
Monitoring Activity	Choice FFS	Marketing FFS	Enroll Disenroll	Program Integrity FFS	Information to Beneficiaries	Grievance
Consumer Self-Report data	MCO X PIHP PAHP PCCM FFS	MCO PIHP PAHP PCCM FFS	MCO PIHP PAHP PCCM FFS	MCO PIHP PAHP PCCM FFS	MCO PIHP PAHP PCCM FFS	MCO PIHP PAHP PCCM FFS
Data Analysis (non-claims)	MCO PIHP PAHP PCCM FFS	MCO PIHP PAHP PCCM FFS	MCO PIHP PAHP PCCM FFS	MCO PIHP PAHP PCCM FFS	MCO PIHP PAHP PCCM FFS	MCO X PIHP PAHP PCCM FFS
Enrollee Hotlines	MCO PIHP PAHP PCCM FFS	MCO PIHP PAHP PCCM FFS	MCO PIHP PAHP PCCM FFS	MCO PIHP PAHP PCCM FFS	MCO PIHP PAHP PCCM FFS	MCO X PIHP PAHP PCCM FFS
Focused Studies	MCO PIHP PAHP PCCM FFS	MCO PIHP PAHP PCCM FFS	MCO PIHP PAHP PCCM FFS	MCO PIHP PAHP PCCM FFS	MCO PIHP PAHP PCCM FFS	MCO PIHP PAHP PCCM FFS
Geographic mapping	MCO PIHP PAHP PCCM FFS	MCO PIHP PAHP PCCM FFS	MCO PIHP PAHP PCCM FFS	MCO PIHP PAHP PCCM FFS	MCO PIHP PAHP PCCM FFS	MCO PIHP PAHP PCCM FFS
Independent Assessment	MCO PIHP PAHP PCCM FFS	MCO PIHP PAHP PCCM FFS	MCO PIHP PAHP PCCM FFS	MCO PIHP PAHP PCCM FFS	MCO PIHP PAHP PCCM FFS	MCO PIHP PAHP PCCM FFS
Measure any Disparities by Racial or Ethnic Groups	MCO PIHP PAHP PCCM FFS	MCO PIHP PAHP PCCM FFS	MCO PIHP PAHP PCCM FFS	MCO PIHP PAHP PCCM FFS	MCO PIHP PAHP PCCM FFS	MCO PIHP PAHP PCCM FFS
Network Adequacy Assurance by Plan	MCO X PIHP PAHP PCCM FFS	MCO PIHP PAHP PCCM FFS	MCO PIHP PAHP PCCM FFS	MCO PIHP PAHP PCCM FFS	MCO PIHP PAHP PCCM FFS	MCO PIHP PAHP PCCM FFS
Ombudsman	MCO	☐ MCO	☐ MCO	☐ MCO	☐ MCO	☐ MCO

		Evaluation of	rrogram impac	,l 		
			Enroll	Program	Information to	
Monitoring Activity	Choice	Marketing	Disenroll	Integrity	Beneficiaries	Grievance
	PIHP	PIHP	X PIHP	PIHP	X PIHP	X PIHP
	PAHP	PAHP	PAHP	PAHP	PAHP	PAHP
	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM
	FFS	FFS	FFS	FFS	FFS	FFS
On-Site Review	_ MCO	☐ MCO	MCO	☐ MCO	MCO	☐ MCO
	X PIHP	X PIHP	X PIHP	X PIHP	X PIHP	X PIHP
	PAHP	PAHP	PAHP	PAHP	PAHP	PAHP
	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM
	FFS	FFS	FFS	FFS	FFS	FFS
Performance Improvement	☐ MCO	☐ MCO	☐ MCO	☐ MCO	☐ MCO	☐ MCO
Projects	☐ PIHP	PIHP	□ PIHP	☐ PIHP	PIHP	PIHP
		PAHP	PAHP	PAHP	PAHP	
					PCCM	
	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM		PCCM
	FFS	FFS	FFS	FFS	FFS	FFS
Performance Measures	☐ MCO	☐ MCO	☐ MCO	☐ MCO	☐ MCO	☐ MCO
	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP
	PAHP	PAHP	PAHP	PAHP	PAHP	PAHP
	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM
	FFS	FFS	FFS	FFS	FFS	FFS
Periodic Comparison of # of	☐ MCO	MCO	MCO	☐ MCO	MCO	☐ MCO
Providers	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP	
	PAHP	PAHP	PAHP	PAHP	PAHP	
		PCCM	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM
	FFS	FFS	FFS	FFS	FFS	FFS
Profile Utilization by Provider	☐ MCO	☐ MCO	☐ MCO	□ MCO	☐ MCO	☐ MCO
Caseload	☐ PIHP	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP	□ PIHP
		PAHP	PAHP	PAHP	PAHP	
	PCCM			PCCM		
		PCCM	PCCM		PCCM	PCCM
	FFS	FFS	FFS	FFS	FFS	FFS
Provider Self-Report Data	☐ MCO	☐ MCO	☐ MCO	☐ MCO	☐ MCO	☐ MCO
		PIHP	☐ PIHP	X PIHP	☐ PIHP	PIHP
	PAHP	PAHP	PAHP	PAHP	PAHP	PAHP
	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM
	FFS	FFS	FFS	FFS	FFS	FFS
Test 24/7 PCP Availability	MCO	☐ MCO	MCO	☐ MCO	☐ MCO	☐ MCO
	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP
	PAHP	PAHP	PAHP	PAHP	PAHP	PAHP
	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM
	FFS	FFS	FFS	FFS	FFS	FFS
Utilization Review	☐ MCO	MCO	MCO	MCO	□ MCO	MCO
	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP
		PAHP	PAHP	PAHP	PAHP	PAHP
	□ ' ' " "		'' ' '' ''	' ' " "	🗀 ' ' " "	L ' ' " "

	Evaluation of Program Impact						
Monitoring Activity	Choice	Marketing	Enroll Disenroll	Program Integrity	Information to Beneficiaries	Grievance	
	PCCM FFS	PCCM FFS	PCCM FFS	PCCM FFS	PCCM FFS	PCCM FFS	
Other	MCO PIHP PAHP PCCM FFS	MCO PIHP PAHP PCCM FFS	MCO PIHP PAHP PCCM FFS	MCO PIHP PAHP PCCM FFS	MCO PIHP PAHP PCCM FFS	MCO PIHP PAHP PCCM FFS	

Section B: Monitoring Plan

Part I: Summary Chart of Monitoring Activities

Summary of Monitoring Activities (2 of 3)

The charts in this section summarize the activities used to monitor major areas of the waiver program. The purpose is to provide a "big picture" of the monitoring activities, and that the State has at least one activity in place to monitor each of the areas of the waiver that must be monitored.

Please note:

- MCO, PIHP, and PAHP programs:
 - There must be at least one checkmark in each column.
- PCCM and FFS selective contracting programs:
 - There must be at least one checkmark in <u>each column</u> under "Evaluation of Program Impact."
 - There must be at least one check mark in one of the three columns under "Evaluation of Access."
 - There must be at least one check mark in one of the three columns under "Evaluation of Quality."

Summary of Monitoring Activities: Evaluation of Access

Summary of Monitoring Activities: I			
	Evaluation of Acco	ess	
Monitoring Activity	Timely Access	PCP / Specialist Capacity	Coordination / Continuity
Accreditation for Non-duplication	MCO PIHP PAHP PCCM FFS	MCO PIHP PAHP PCCM FFS	MCO PIHP PAHP PCCM FFS
Accreditation for Participation	MCO PIHP PAHP PCCM FFS	MCO PIHP PAHP PCCM FFS	MCO PIHP PAHP PCCM FFS
Consumer Self-Report data	MCO PIHP PAHP PCCM FFS	MCO PIHP PAHP PCCM FFS	MCO PIHP PAHP PCCM FFS
Data Analysis (non-claims)	MCO PIHP PAHP	MCO PIHP PAHP	MCO PIHP PAHP

Evaluation of Access						
Monitoring Activity	Timely Access	PCP / Specialist Capacity	Coordination / Continuity			
	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM			
	FFS	FFS	FFS			
Enrollee Hotlines	MCO	☐ MCO	☐ MCO			
	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP			
	PAHP	PAHP	PAHP			
	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM			
	FFS	FFS	FFS			
Focused Studies	MCO	MCO	MCO			
	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP			
	PAHP	PAHP	PAHP			
	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM			
	FFS	FFS	FFS			
Geographic mapping	□ MCO	MCO	☐ MCO			
	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP			
	PAHP	PAHP	PAHP			
	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM			
	FFS	FFS	FFS			
Independent Assessment	MCO	☐ MCO	☐ MCO			
independent / tooosimont	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP			
	PAHP	PAHP	PAHP			
	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM			
	FFS	FFS	FFS			
Measure any Disparities by Racial or Ethnic Groups	☐ MCO	☐ MCO	☐ MCO			
Croups	PIHP	☐ PIHP	PIHP			
	PAHP	PAHP	PAHP			
	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM			
	FFS	FFS	FFS			
Network Adequacy Assurance by Plan	☐ MCO	☐ MCO	☐ MCO			
	X PIHP	☐ PIHP	X PIHP			
	PAHP	PAHP	PAHP			
	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM			
	FFS	FFS	FFS			
Ombudsman	☐ MCO	☐ MCO	☐ MCO			
	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP			
	PAHP	☐ PAHP	☐ PAHP			
	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM			
	FFS	FFS	FFS			
On-Site Review	MCO	MCO	MCO			
	X PIHP	PIHP	X PIHP			
	PAHP	PAHP	PAHP			
	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM			
	FFS	FFS	FFS			
Performance Improvement Projects	MCO	MCO	☐ MCO			
·						

Evaluation of Access						
Monitoring Activity	Timely Access	PCP / Specialist Capacity	Coordination / Continuity			
	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP			
	PAHP	PAHP	PAHP			
	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM			
	FFS	FFS	FFS			
Performance Measures	MCO	☐ MCO	MCO			
	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP			
	PAHP	PAHP	PAHP			
	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM			
	FFS	FFS	FFS			
Periodic Comparison of # of Providers	☐ MCO	☐ MCO	☐ MCO			
	PIHP	☐ PIHP	PIHP			
	PAHP	☐ PAHP	PAHP			
	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM			
	FFS	FFS	FFS			
Profile Utilization by Provider Caseload	MCO	☐ MCO	MCO			
	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP			
	PAHP	PAHP	PAHP			
	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM			
	FFS	FFS	FFS			
Provider Self-Report Data	MCO	MCO	☐ MCO			
	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP			
	PAHP	PAHP	PAHP			
	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM			
	FFS	FFS	FFS			
Test 24/7 PCP Availability	MCO	☐ MCO	☐ MCO			
	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP			
	PAHP	PAHP	PAHP			
	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM			
	FFS	FFS	FFS			
Utilization Review	MCO	MCO	MCO			
	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP			
	PAHP	PAHP	PAHP			
	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM			
	FFS	FFS	FFS			
Other	MCO	☐ MCO	MCO			
	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP			
	PAHP	PAHP	PAHP			
	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM			
	FFS	FFS	FFS			

Section B: Monitoring Plan

Part I: Summary Chart of Monitoring Activities

Summary of Monitoring Activities (3 of 3)

The charts in this section summarize the activities used to monitor major areas of the waiver program. The purpose is to provide a "big picture" of the monitoring activities, and that the State has at least one activity in place to monitor each of the areas of the waiver that must be monitored.

Please note:

- MCO, PIHP, and PAHP programs:
 - There must be at least one checkmark in each column.
- PCCM and FFS selective contracting programs:
 - There must be at least one checkmark in <u>each column</u> under "Evaluation of Program Impact."
 - There must be at least one check mark in one of the three columns under "Evaluation of Access."
 - There must be at least one check mark in one of the three columns under "Evaluation of Quality."

Summary of Monitoring Activities: Evaluation of Quality **Evaluation of Quality** Coverage / Monitoring Activity Authorization **Provider Selection** Qualitiy of Care Accreditation for Non-duplication MCO MCO MCO PIHP PIHP PIHP **PAHP PAHP PAHP PCCM PCCM PCCM FFS FFS** FFS Accreditation for Participation MCO MCO MCO **PIHP** PIHP PIHP PAHP **PAHP PAHP PCCM PCCM PCCM FFS FFS FFS** Consumer Self-Report data MCO MCO MCO X PIHP X PIHP X PIHP **PAHP PAHP** PAHP **PCCM PCCM PCCM FFS FFS FFS** Data Analysis (non-claims) MCO MCO MCO X PIHP X PIHP X PIHP **PAHP PAHP PAHP PCCM PCCM PCCM FFS FFS FFS Enrollee Hotlines** MCO MCO MCO PIHP PIHP PIHP **PAHP** PAHP PAHP **PCCM PCCM PCCM** FFS **FFS** FFS **Focused Studies** MCO MCO MCO PIHP PIHP PIHP **PAHP PAHP PAHP PCCM PCCM PCCM**

PIHP		Evaluation of Qua	lity	
FFS	Manitoring Activity		Provider Selection	Qualitiv of Caro
PIHP				
PIHP		MCO	MCO	MCO
PAHP				
PCCM				
FFS				
MCO				
PIHP				
PAHP	Independent Assessment			
PCCM				
FFS		PAHP		PAHP
Measure any Disparities by Racial or Ethnic Groups MCO MCO MCO MCO PIHP PAHP P		PCCM	PCCM	PCCM
PIHP		FFS	FFS	FFS
PahP	Measure any Disparities by Racial or Ethnic	☐ MCO	MCO	☐ MCO
PAHP	Groups	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP
PCCM				
FFS				
MCO				
PIHP	Natural Adams Adams Adams			
PAHP	Network Adequacy Assurance by Plan			
PCCM				
FFS				
Ombudsman MCO MCO MCO PIHP PIHP PIHP PIHP PAHP PAHP PAHP PAHP PCCM PCCM PCCM PCCM FFS FFS FFS FFS On-Site Review MCO MCO MCO MCO MCO MCO MCO MCO PCCM PAHP PAHP PAHP PAHP PCCM FFS FFS FFS FFS FFS Performance Improvement Projects MCO		PCCM		
PIHP		FFS	FFS	FFS
PAHP	Ombudsman	MCO	MCO	MCO
PCCM		PIHP	PIHP	PIHP
FFS		PAHP	PAHP	PAHP
On-Site Review MCO MCO MCO X PIHP X PIHP X PIHP PAHP PAHP PAHP PAHP PAHP PAHP PCCM PCCM PCCM FFS FFS FFS Performance Improvement Projects MCO PIHP PAHP PAHP PAHP PAHP PAHP PCCM PCCM PCCM PCCM PCCM PCCM PCCM PAHP PCCM P		PCCM	PCCM	PCCM
X PIHP		FFS	FFS	FFS
PAHP	On-Site Review	☐ MCO	□ MCO	MCO
PAHP		X PIHP	X PIHP	X PIHP
PCCM				
FFS		PCCM		
Performance Improvement Projects MCO				
PIHP PIHP X PIHP PAHP PCCM PFS FFS <	Desformance Improvement Desired			
PAHP PAHP PAHP PCCM PCCM PCCM FFS FFS FFS Performance Measures MCO MCO MCO PIHP PIHP PIHP PAHP PAHP PAHP PAHP PAHP PCCM PCCM PCCM PCCM FFS FFS FFS	Perrormance improvement Projects			
PCCM PCCM PCCM FFS FFS FFS Performance Measures MCO MCO MCO PIHP PIHP V PIHP PAHP PAHP PAHP PAHP PCCM PCCM PCCM PCCM FFS FFS FFS				
FFS FFS FFS Performance Measures MCO MCO MCO PIHP PIHP VX PIHP PAHP PAHP PAHP PAHP PCCM PCCM PCCM FFS FFS FFS				
Performance Measures MCO MCO MCO PIHP PIHP X PIHP PAHP PAHP PAHP PCCM PCCM PCCM FFS FFS FFS				
PIHP PIHP X PIHP PAHP PAHP PAHP PCCM PCCM PCCM FFS FFS FFS		FFS	FFS	☐ FFS
PAHP PAHP PAHP PCCM PCCM PCCM FFS FFS FFS	Performance Measures	☐ MCO	☐ MCO	MCO
PCCM PCCM PCCM FFS FFS		PIHP	PIHP	X PIHP
FFS FFS FFS		PAHP	PAHP	PAHP
		PCCM	PCCM	PCCM
Periodic Comparison of # of Providers MCO MCO		FFS	FFS	FFS
	Periodic Comparison of # of Providers	☐ MCO	□ MCO	☐ MCO
PIHP PIHP				

Evaluation of Quality					
Monitoring Activity	Coverage / Authorization	Provider Selection	Qualitiy of Care		
,	PAHP	PAHP	PAHP		
	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM		
	FFS	FFS	FFS		
Profile Utilization by Provider Caseload	MCO	MCO	☐ MCO		
	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP		
	PAHP	PAHP	PAHP		
	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM		
	FFS	FFS	FFS		
Provider Self-Report Data	☐ MCO	☐ MCO	☐ MCO		
	X PIHP	PIHP	PIHP		
	PAHP	PAHP	PAHP		
	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM		
	FFS	FFS	FFS		
Test 24/7 PCP Availability	MCO	MCO	MCO		
	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP		
	PAHP	PAHP	PAHP		
	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM		
	FFS	FFS	FFS		
Utilization Review	MCO	MCO	MCO		
	PIHP	PIHP	PIHP		
	PAHP	PAHP	PAHP		
	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM		
	FFS	FFS	FFS		
Other	☐ MCO	☐ MCO	☐ MCO		
	☐ PIHP	☐ PIHP	☐ PIHP		
	☐ PAHP	☐ PAHP	☐ PAHP		
	PCCM	PCCM	PCCM		
	FFS	FFS	FFS		

Section B: Monitoring Plan

Part II: Details of Monitoring Activities

Details of Monitoring Activities by Authorized Programs

For each program authorized by this waiver, please provide the details of its monitoring activities by editing each program listed below.

Programs Authorized by this Waiver:

Program	Type of Program
Family Care	PIHP;

Note: If no programs appear in this list, please define the programs authorized by this waiver on the

Section B: Monitoring Plan

Part II: Details of Monitoring Activities

Program Instance: Family Care

Please check each of the monitoring activities below used by the State. A number of common activities are listed below, but the State may identify any others it uses. If federal regulations require a given activity, this is indicated just after the name of the activity. If the State does not use a required activity, it must explain why. For each activity, the state must provide the following information:

•	Personnel responsible (e.g. state Medicaid, other state agency, delegated to plan, EQR, other contractor) Detailed description of activity Frequency of use How it yields information about the area(s) being monitored	
a.	Accreditation for Non-duplication (i.e. if the contractor is accredited by an organization to meet certain access, structure/operation, and/or quality improvement standards, and the state determines that the organization's standards are at leas stringent as the state-specific standards required in 42 CFR 438 Subpart D, the state deems the contractor to be in compliant with the state-specific standards) Activity Details: NCQA	
	JCAHO AAAHC Other Please describe:	
b.	Accreditation for Participation (i.e. as prerequisite to be Medicaid plan) Activity Details:	
	NCQA JCAHO AAAHC Other Please describe:	
C.	Activity Details: Responsible entity: State Activity: State develops enrollee satisfaction survey tool; instructs PIHPs in methods to administer the survey; State collects and analyzes data Frequency: Annual Information: The tool is designed to solicit enrollee feedback about the PIHPs performance in offering choice, coverage, authorization, provider selection and quality of care CAHPS Please identify which one(s):	:e
	X State-developed survey Disenrollment survey Consumer/beneficiary focus group	
d.	X Data Analysis (non-claims) Activity Details: Responsible entity: State Activity: PIHPs report data from local grievances and appeals and the State collects data	

	related to State-level appeals directed to the SMA and to the State Division of Hearings and Appeals; data is analyzed by State-staff oversight teams for each PIHP and by contract compliance staff.
	Frequency: Data for individual PIHPs is analyzed quarterly by oversight teams; statewide data is reviewed quarterly by contract compliance staff. Information: Data provides information on trends in grievances and appeals in individual PIHPs and collectively. Denials of referral requests
	Disenrollment requests by enrollee
	From PCP within plan
	X Grievances and appeals data Other
	Please describe:
e.	X Enrollee Hotlines
	Activity Details: Responsible entity: State
	Activity: State provides a toll free number for members to lodge appeals or grievances to the SMA.
	Frequency: Ongoing Information: The entity contracted to monitor the hotline provides summary data to the
	SMA about appeals and grievances reported on the hotline.
f.	Focused Studies (detailed investigations of certain aspects of clinical or non-clinical services at a point in time, to answer
	defined questions. Focused studies differ from performance improvement projects in that they do not require demonstrable and sustained improvement in significant aspects of clinical care and non-clinical service)
	Activity Details:
g.	Geographic mapping
	Activity Details:
h.	Independent Assessment (Required for first two waiver periods)
	Activity Details:
i.	Measure any Disparities by Racial or Ethnic Groups
	Activity Details:
j.	X Network Adequacy Assurance by Plan [Required for MCO/PIHP/PAHP]
	Activity Details: Responsible entity: State
	Activity: Certification of adequacy of PIHP network
	Frequency: Annual Information about all providers in the PIHP network
k.	X Ombudsman

f.

i.

j.

Activity Details:

	Responsible entity: Contracted ombudsman programs Activity: Monitor PIHP performance in relation to grievances and appeals and information to beneficiaries
	Frequency: Ongoing Information: Ombudsman programs produce monthly and quarterly reports analyzed by State program management staff
l.	X On-Site Review
	Activity Details: Responsible entity: State and EQRO Activity: Quality reviews Frequency: Annual Information: The tools used in the reviews are designed to collect information about choice, marketing, enroll/disenroll, program integrity, information to beneficiaries, grievance, timely access, capacity, coordination/continuity, coverage/authorization and quality of care.
m.	X Performance Improvement Projects [Required for MCO/PIHP]
	Activity Details:
	Responsible entity: PIHP Activity: All PIHPs must identify and conduct one PIP per year. The PIP may be clinical or nonclinical as determined applicable to the member quality improvement needs assessed by each PHIP. The State maintains discretion to require up to two PIPs per year. Frequency: Annual
	Information: PIP activities and results are analyzed by the State and EQRO X Clinical
	X Non-clinical
n.	X Performance Measures [Required for MCO/PIHP]
	Activity Details: Responsible entity: State and EQRO Activity: Care management review, quality compliance review and annual certification (please see http://www.dhs.wisconsin.gov/ltcare/StateFedReqs/EQRO.htm) Frequency: Annual
	Information: The tools used in the reviews are designed to collect information about choice, marketing, enroll/disenroll, program integrity, information to beneficiaries, grievance, timely access, capacity, coordination/continuity, coverage/authorization and quality of care. X Process
	X Health status/ outcomes
	X Access/ availability of care
	X Use of services/ utilization
	X Health plan stability/ financial/ cost of care
	X Health plan/ provider characteristics
	X Beneficiary characteristics
0.	Periodic Comparison of # of Providers
	Activity Details:
p.	Profile Utilization by Provider Caseload (looking for outliers)
	Activity Details:
а	Provider Self-Report Data
q.	Trovidor dell'Report Data

	Activity Details:
	Survey of providers
	Focus groups
	1 ocus groups
	Test 24/7 PCP Availability
	Activity Details:
i.	Utilization Review (e.g. ER, non-authorized specialist requests)
	Activity Details:
	Other
	Activity Details:
	Totally Details.

Section C: Monitoring Results

Renewal Waiver Request

Section 1915(b) of the Act and 42 CFR 431.55 require that the State must document and maintain data regarding the effect of the waiver on the accessibility and quality of services as well as the anticipated impact of the project on the State's Medicaid program. In Section B of this waiver preprint, the State describes how it will assure these requirements are met. For an initial waiver request, the State provides assurance in this Section C that it will report on the results of its monitoring plan when it submits its waiver renewal request. For a renewal request, the State provides evidence that waiver requirements were met for the most recent waiver period. Please use Section D to provide evidence of cost-effectiveness.

CMS uses a multi-pronged effort to monitor waiver programs, including rate and contract review, site visits, reviews of External Quality Review reports on MCOs/PIHPs, and reviews of Independent Assessments. CMS will use the results of these activities and reports along with this Section to evaluate whether the Program Impact, Access, and Quality requirements of the waiver were met.

This is a renewal request.

- This is the first time the State is using this waiver format to renew an existing waiver. The State provides below the results of the monitoring activities conducted during the previous waiver period.
- The State has used this format previouslyThe State provides below the results of the monitoring activities conducted during the previous waiver period.

For each of the monitoring activities checked in Section B of the previous waiver request, the State should:

- Confirm it was conducted as described in Section B of the previous waiver preprint. If it was not done as described, please explain why.
- Summarize the results or findings of each activity. CMS may request detailed results as appropriate.
- Identify problems found, if any.
- Describe plan/provider-level corrective action, if any, that was taken. The State need not identify the provider/plan by name, but must provide the rest of the required information.
- Describe system-level program changes, if any, made as a result of monitoring findings.

The Monitoring Activities were conducted as described:

ledow	Yes O No
	If No, please explain:

Provide the results of the monitoring activities:

Consumer Self-Report Data - PIHPs are required to participate in a program-wide member survey. All PIHPs must include a set of common questions in their survey. The SMA compiles the survey results. Generally, levels of positive experience with Family Care PIHPs are high. Responses of "Always" to survey questions are at least 65% and when the "Most of the Time" response is added, all but one question has a 90% or higher positive response. The statement, "I participate in making decisions about the services I receive" had an "Always" response of 65% and a combined "Always and "Most of the Time" response of 89%. While this is an increase from previously reported results of 63% and 88%, it is an indicator that the SMA would like to see improve over the coming years.

Grievances and Appeals - Grievance and appeal numbers are small-both at the local and State level. State appeals to the SMA and the State Division of Hearings and Appeals (member access to DHA appeals is required by State statute) had a monthly average of 1 per 1000 enrollees. Members are informed of their right to appeal to DHA and are able to file that appeal concurrently with other levels of appeal. State data does not show any problematic trends and the SMA did not identify any trends or issues with local grievances and appeals reported by PIHPs.

Focused Studies – Medication Management - The SMA conducted a pilot to assess the need for automated medication dispensing devices. Over the course of the pilot (April through December 2013), over 24,000 members were screened for risk related to adherence to their medication regimen upon enrollment, during a regular review or following an event such as a change in condition. Almost 21,000 of the assessed members were determined to have some risk and, of those, 285 received a medication management device. Over half already had an intervention in place that was working or were scheduled to have an intervention added to their plan. Another 7,000 were determined not to have adherence issues although they were assessed with risk. The pilot revealed that many members were receiving assistance with medication adherence and that the devices were not the most appropriate intervention for them. PIHPs continue to assess members for medication adherence risk.

Network Adequacy Assurance by Plan - DHS annually reviews the adequacy of each PIHP's network. PIHPs are required to have current (no older than 45 days) provider information available on their website. PIHP networks are assessed for adequacy of the overall range of providers to deliver the services in the Family Care benefit package and to ensure there are a sufficient number, mix, and geographic distribution of providers to meet the needs of the anticipated number of enrollees in the service area. No significant issues were identified between 2012 and 2014. A few PIHPs appeared to lack a sufficient number of providers in certain parts of their geographic service area. The SMA oversight team monitored the PIHPs efforts to fill that gap and the PIHPs added additional providers. This issue is resolved and there are no outstanding issues.

On-Site Review - The EQRO conducts an on-site Annual Quality Review (AQR) of each PIHP. The AQR assesses the following PIHP systems and processes: Care Management Review, Assessment, Planning, Service Coordination and Delivery, Participant Centered Focus, Validation of Performance Improvement Projects, Quality Compliance Review, Enrollee Rights, Access to Services, Structure and Operations, Quality Measurement and Improvement, and Grievance Systems. The AQR results are submitted to the SMA and can be found at:

http://www.dhs.wisconsin.gov/ltcare/StateFedReqs/eqro12-13.pdf. Various issues were identified for each PIHP. The SMA Oversight Team required correction actions, made improvement recommendations and monitored PIHP completion of requirements.

Performance Improvement Projects (PIPS)- See EQRO report at http://www.dhs.wisconsin.gov/ltcare/StateFedReqs/eqro12-13.pdf.

Performance Measures - See Care Management Review and Quality Compliance Review in the EQRO report at http://www.dhs.wisconsin.gov/LTCare/StateFedRegs/egro09-10/annualrpt.pdf.

Provider Self-Report Data - PIHPs annually submit assurances that they do not knowingly employ or contract with excluded individuals or entities, and that they have written policies and procedures to guard against fraud and abuse. PIHPs check the excluded provider registry upon initial contract with a provider.

Periodic Care Plan Reviews - See Care Management Review in the EQRO report at: http://www.dhs.wisconsin.gov/LTCare/StateFedReqs/eqro09-10/annualrpt.pdf.

Various issues were identified and recommendations made for PIHPs to improve their implementation of PIPs. The most commonly occurring areas where PIHPs struggled were providing notice of action in a timely manner and consistently offering self-directed supports options to members. PIHPs chose to implement PIPs to improve these issues that were identified during periodic care plan reviews. The SMA Oversight Team required correction actions, made improvement recommendations and monitored PIHP completion of requirements. The SMA has also developed and implemented additional standardized notice of action forms and instructions for their use.

Aging and Disability Resource Center - ADRCs provide information to enrollees and potential enrollees about the Family Care benefit, PIHPs and other options available. ADRCs provide outreach and marketing for the Family Care program and monitor marketing by PIHPs or their providers which is not allowed. They also provide options counseling to persons who choose to disenroll from Family Care. The SMA has not received any reports of inappropriate marketing activities by a PIHP.

Section D: Cost-Effectiveness

Medical Eligibility Groups

Tricalcal Eligibility Croups	
Title	
Nursing Home Level of Care	
Non-Nursing Home Level of Care	

	First Period Start Date End Date		Second Period		
			Start Date	End Date	
Actual Enrollment for the Time Period**	10/01/2012	09/30/2013	10/01/2013	09/30/2014	
Enrollment Projections for the Time Period*	01/01/2015	12/31/2015	01/01/2016	12/31/2016	

^{**}Include actual data and dates used in conversion - no estimates

Section D: Cost-Effectiveness

Services Included in the Waiver

Document the services included in the waiver cost-effectiveness analysis:

Service Name	State Plan Service	1915(b)(3) Service	Included in Actual Waiver Cost	
Adaptive Aids - General			x	
Adaptive Aids - Vehicles			X	
Adult Day Care			X	
Alcohol and Drug Abuse Day Treatment	X		X	
AODA Treatment (excludes those provided by a physician or on an inpatient basis)	X		X	
Case Management	X		X	
Communication Aids/Assistive Technologies			X	
Community Support Program	X		X	
Consultative Clinical and Therapeutic Services for Caregivers			X	
Consumer Directed Supports (Self Directed Supports) Support Broker			X	

^{*}Projections start on Quarter and include data for requested waiver period

Service Name	State Plan Service	1915(b)(3) Service	Included in Actual Waiver Cost	
Consumer Education and Training			X	
Counseling/Therapeutic Resources			X	
Daily Living Skills Training			X	
Day Habilitation Services			X	
Disposable Medical Supplies	X		X	
Durable Medical Equipment (excluding hearing aids and prosthetics)	X		X	
Financial Management Services			X	
Home Health Services	X		X	
Home Modifications	X		X	
Housing Counseling			X	
Meals - Home Delivered			X	
Mental Health Counseling/Therapy (except those provided by a physician or on an inpatient basis)	X		X	
Mental Health Day Treatment	X		X	
Nursing Home Stays (Nursing Home, Institution for Mental Disease (IMD) and ICF-I/ID Facility)	X		X	
Occupational Therapy	x		x	
Personal Care	X		X	
Personal Emergency Response System Services			X	
Physical Therapy	x		X	
Prevocational Services			X	
Relocation Services			X	
Residential Services - RCAC, CBRF, Adult Family Home			X	
Respiratory Therapy by Independent Nurse or Therapist Employed by a Home Health Agency	X		X	
Respite Care			X	
Self-Directed Personal Care			X	
Skilled Nursing	X		X	
Specialized Medical Supplies			X	
Speech and Language Pathology Services (except in inpatient hospital settings)	X		X	
Supported Employment - Individual Employment Support			X	
Supported Employment - Small Group Employment Support			X	
Supportive Home Care			X	
Training Services for Unpaid Caregivers			X	
	l		I	

Service Name	State Plan Service	1915(b)(3) Service	Included in Actual Waiver Cost	
Transportation - Common Carrier	X		X	
Transportation - Community - Non-medical			X	
Transportation - Community - Self-directed non-emergency medical			x	
Transportation - Medical (including specialized medical vehicle)	x		X	
Vocational Futures Planning and Support			X	

Section D: Cost-Effectiveness

Part I: State Completion Section

A. Assurances

- a. [Required] Through the submission of this waiver, the State assures CMS:
 - The fiscal staff in the Medicaid agency has reviewed these calculations for accuracy and attests to their correctness.
 - The State assures CMS that the actual waiver costs will be less than or equal to or the State's waiver cost projection.
 - Capitated rates will be set following the requirements of 42 CFR 438.6(c) and will be submitted to the CMS Regional Office for approval.
 - Capitated 1915(b)(3) services will be set in an actuarially sound manner based only on approved 1915(b)(3) services and their administration subject to CMS RO prior approval.
 - The State will monitor, on a regular basis, the cost-effectiveness of the waiver (for example, the State may compare the PMPM Actual Waiver Cost from the CMS 64 to the approved Waiver Cost Projections). If changes are needed, the State will submit a prospective amendment modifying the Waiver Cost Projections.
 - The State will submit quarterly actual member month enrollment statistics by MEG in conjunction with the State's submitted CMS-64 forms.

	Signature:	
		State Medicaid Director or Designee
	Submission Date:	
		Note: The Signature and Submission Date fields will be automatically completed when the State Medicaid Director submits the application.
b.	Name of Medicaid	Financial Officer making these assurances:
	Amy McDowell	
c.	Telephone Number	r:
	(608) 266-2708	
d.	E-mail:	
	Amy.McDowell@d	dhs.wisconsin.gov
e.	The State is choosi	ng to report waiver expenditures based on

• date of payment.

date of service within date of payment. The State understands the additional reporting requirements in the CMS-64 and has used the cost effectiveness spreadsheets designed specifically for reporting by date of service within day of payment. The State will submit an initial test upon the first renewal and then an initial and final test (for the preceding 4 years) upon the second renewal and thereafter.

Print application selector for 1915(b)Waiver: Draft WI.048.06.03 - Jul 01, 2017 Page 59 of 75 Section D: Cost-Effectiveness Part I: State Completion Section B. Expedited or Comprehensive Test To provide information on the waiver program to determine whether the waiver will be subject to the Expedited or Comprehensive cost effectiveness test. Note: All waivers, even those eligible for the Expedited test, are subject to further review at the discretion of CMS and OMB. b. The State provides additional services under 1915(b)(3) authority. c. The State makes enhanced payments to contractors or providers. d. The State uses a sole-source procurement process to procure State Plan services under this waiver. e. The State uses a sole-source procurement process to procure State Plan services under this waiver. Note: do not mark this box if this is a waiver for transportation services and dental pre-paid ambulatory health plans (PAHPs) that has overlapping populations with another waiver meeting one of these three criteria. For transportation and dental waivers alone, States do not need to consider an overlapping population with another waiver containing additional services, enhanced payments, or sole source procurement as a trigger for the comprehensive waiver test. However, if the transportation services or dental PAHP waiver meets the criteria in a, b, or c for additional services, enhanced payments, or sole source procurement then the State should mark the appropriate box and process the waiver using the Comprehensive Test. If you marked any of the above, you must complete the entire preprint and your renewal waiver is subject to the Comprehensive Test. If you did not mark any of the above, your renewal waiver (not conversion or initial waiver) is subject to the Expedited Test: Do not complete Appendix D3 Your waiver will not be reviewed by OMB at the discretion of CMS and OMB. The following questions are to be completed in conjunction with the Worksheet Appendices. All narrative explanations should be included in the preprint. Where further clarification was needed, we have included additional information in the preprint. Section D: Cost-Effectiveness Part I: State Completion Section C. Capitated portion of the waiver only: Type of Capitated Contract The response to this question should be the same as in A.I.b. a. MCO b. X PIHP c. PAHP d. PCCM e. Other Please describe: Section D: Cost-Effectiveness Part I: State Completion Section

D. PCCM portion of the waiver only: Reimbursement of PCCM Providers

Under this waiver, providers are reimbursed on a fee-for-service basis. PCCMs are reimbursed for patient management in the following manner (please check and describe):

	inanagement lees are expected to	be paid under this waiver.
	The management fees were calcula	ated as follows.
	1. 🗌 Year 1: \$	per member per month fee.
	2. 🗌 Year 2: \$	per member per month fee.
	3. 🗌 Year 3: \$	per member per month fee.
	4. 🗌 Year 4: \$	per member per month fee.
b.	Enhanced fee for primary care s	ervices.
C.	determined.	be affected by enhanced fees and how the amount of the enhancement was enerated under the program are paid to case managers who control
d.	beneficiary utilization. Under D.I incentive payments, the method for place to ensure that total payments D5). Bonus payments and incentive under the waiver. Please also desc to incentives inherent in the bonus accounted for in Appendix D3. Ac Other reimbursement method/a	I.H.d., please describe the criteria the State will use for awarding the or calculating incentives/bonuses, and the monitoring the State will have in to the providers do not exceed the Waiver Cost Projections (Appendix es for reducing utilization are limited to savings of State Plan service costs ribe how the State will ensure that utilization is not adversely affected due payments. The costs associated with any bonus arrangements must be trual Waiver Cost.
	: Cost-Effectiveness ate Completion Section	
	er Months	
E. MEITIDE	SI MOTUIS	
Please mark	all that apply.	
_		year and R1 and R2 data is the population under the waiver.
a.	For a renewal waiver, because of t	he timing of the waiver renewal submittal, the State did not have a
a. b.		-
	complete R2 to submit. Please ens it is no longer acceptable to estimate	ure that the formulas correctly calculated the annualized trend rates. Note: ate enrollment or cost data for R2 of the previous waiver period. any increase or decrease in member months projections from the base year

The member month projections are based on PIHP business plans, historical trends in Family Care enrollment, and county-specific populations. Approximately 6,600 home and community based-waiver enrollees and 2,100 persons on the waiting list for long-term care services are expected to gradually transition into Family Care. The transition periods for counties with existing home and community-based waivers range from one to seven months. Persons on a waitlist are assumed to be enrolled evenly over 36 months. Roughly 67% of people are assumed to enroll in the Family Care program with the other 33% enrolling in the Self-Directed 1915(c) waiver option. When the program becomes an entitlement in a county beginning in month 37 after program operations begin, historical enrollment shows annual growth rates of approximately 12% in a county's first year of entitlement, 11% in the second, 9% in the third, and 2%

thereafter.

Family Care member months are expected to increase by 5.2% from R2 to P1, 5.9% from P1 to P2, 4.1% from P2 to P3, 6.1% from P3 to P4, and 3.0% from P4 to P5.

The transition period assumptions above are preliminary and are intended to be used for budgeting purposes only. Actual transition periods will be determined upon consultation with PIHPs, counties, ADRCs, and other interested parties after PIHP contracts have been awarded.

d. [Required] Explain any other variance in eligible member months from BY/R1 to P2:

The majority the growth in member months beginning in P1 (CY2015) is attributable to the gradual enrollment of persons on the waitlist and transitioning home and community based-waiver enrollees into Family Care as described above. Additional growth is expected in counties that have reached entitlement based on historical experience.

Total enrollment in R1 was less than projected in the current approved waiver due mainly to changes in the implementation schedule that was assumed in the previous waiver. At the same time, the State exceeded the projected Non-Nursing Home Level of Care Medicaid Eligibility Group (MEG) member months in R1 (CY2013). The proportion of new members enrolling in the Non-Nursing Home Level of Care was slightly underestimated in the R1 (CY2013) projections due to using historical data weighted heavily on experience during the transition to Family Care. The P1 (CY2015) – P5 (CY2019) enrollment projections for existing counties are based on more recent data which more closely reflects Non-Nursing Home Level of Care enrollment proportions of established Family Care counties.

e. X [Required] Specify whether the BY/R1/R2 is a State fiscal year (SFY), Federal fiscal year (FFY), or other period:

Per CMS request, R1 is 10/1/2012 - 9/30/2013. R2 is 10/1/2013 - 9/30/2014.

Appendix D1 – Member Months

Section D: Cost-Effectiveness

Part I: State Completion Section

F. Appendix D2.S - Services in Actual Waiver Cost

For Conversion or Renewal Waivers:

a. X [Required] Explain if different services are included in the Actual Waiver Cost from the previous period in Appendix D3 than for the upcoming waiver period in Appendix D5. Explain the differences here and how the adjustments were made on Appendix D5:

Consultative Clinical and Therapeutic Services for Caregivers and Training Services for Unpaid Caregivers were added as new home and community-based benefits 1/1/2015, which added \$0.54 PMPM on average to the capitation rates for the Nursing Home level of care. Adjustments to reflect the costs of these new benefits are included with other policy adjustments in Appendix D5.

Supported Employment was split into Individual Employment Support and Small Group Employment Support categories; however, this does not affect costs. This change was made based on the September 16, 2011 CMCS Informational Bulletin updating the 1915(c) Waiver Instructions and Technical guide regarding employment and employment related services. In this guidance supported employment was changed into two separate 1915(c) Waiver services, Supported employment -small group employment and Supported employment-individual employment.

State plan services previously listed in this section unrelated to the waiver were removed to align with CMS instructions and technical guidance.

b. \mathbf{x} [Required] Explain the exclusion of any services from the cost-effectiveness analysis.

For States with multiple waivers serving a single beneficiary, please document how all costs for waiver covered individuals taken into account.

Primary and acute health care are carved out of the Family Care benefit.

Appendix D2.S: Services in Waiver Cost

State Plan Services	MCO Capitated Reimbursement	FFS Reimbursement impacted by MCO	PCCM FFS Reimbursement	Reimbursement	FFS Reimbursement impacted by PIHP	PAHP Capitated Reimbursement	FFS Reimbursement impacted by PAHP
Adaptive Aids - General				X		Lembursement	
Adaptive Aids - Vehicles				X			
Adult Day Care				X			
Alcohol and Drug Abuse Day Treatment				X			
AODA Treatment (excludes those provided by a physician or on an inpatient basis)				X			
Case Management				X			
Communication Aids/Assistive Technologies				X			
Community Support Program				X			
Consultative Clinical and Therapeutic Services for Caregivers				X			
Consumer Directed Supports (Self Directed Supports) Support Broker				X			
Consumer Education and Training				X			
Counseling/Therapeutic Resources				X			
Daily Living Skills Training				X			
Day Habilitation Services				X			
Disposable Medical Supplies				X			
Durable Medical Equipment (excluding hearing aids and prosthetics)				X			
Financial Management Services				X			
Home Health Services				x			
Home Modifications				X			
Housing Counseling				X			
Meals - Home Delivered				X			
Mental Health Counseling/Therapy (except those provided				X			

	MCO Capitated Reimbursement	PCCM FFS Reimbursement	Reimbursement	FFS Reimbursement impacted by PIHP	PAHP Capitated Reimbursement	FFS Reimbursement impacted by PAHP
inpatient basis)						
Mental Health Day Treatment			X			
Nursing Home Stays (Nursing Home, Institution for Mental Disease (IMD) and ICF-I/ID Facility)			X			
Occupational Therapy			X			
Personal Care			X			
Personal Emergency Response System Services			X			
Physical Therapy			X			
Prevocational Services			X			
Relocation Services			x			
Residential Services - RCAC, CBRF, Adult Family Home			X			
Respiratory Therapy by Independent Nurse or Therapist Employed by a Home Health Agency			X			
Respite Care			x			
Self-Directed Personal Care			X			
Skilled Nursing			X			
Specialized Medical Supplies			X			
Speech and Language Pathology Services (except in inpatient hospital settings)			X			
Supported Employment - Individual Employment Support			X			
Supported Employment - Small Group Employment Support			X			
Supportive Home Care			x			
Training Services for Unpaid Caregivers			X			
Transportation - Common Carrier			X			
Transportation - Community - Non- medical			X			
Transportation - Community - Self-			X			

State Plan Services	MCO Capitated Reimbursement		PCCM FFS Reimbursement	Reimbursement	FFS Reimbursement impacted by PIHP	PAHP Capitated Reimbursement	FFS Reimbursement impacted by PAHP
directed non- emergency medical							
Transportation - Medical (including specialized medical vehicle)				x			
Vocational Futures Planning and Support				x			
Section D: Cost-Feart I: State Com							
G. Appendix D2	·		Actual Wai	ver Cost			
b. x The State budget. I	as a percental allocates adrest would not be percentage of applain:	ninistrative c appropriate	osts based up to allocate th	on the progra	im cost as a p tive cost of a	ercentage of t mental health	the total Medi program bas
Appendix D2.A	: Administration	on in Actual	Waiver Cost				
Section D: Cost-E	Effectivenes	SS					
Part I: State Com H. Appendix D3 ·	•						
services. b. x The State	is requesting a The State will e is including v below how the	be spending a voluntary pop	portion of its oulations in tl	waiver savings he waiver.	s for additiona	I services und	er the waiver.
The issue	of selection bi	as is handled	through the St	tate's risk adjus	stment process	s. Risk adjustn	nent has been

central component of Family Care rate setting from the program's inception. Historical costs of actual program enrollees are used as the base cost for the capitation rates. Functional status information obtained from the longterm care functional screen tool is then used to risk adjust the capitation rates. The PIHPs are paid a capitation

that reflects case mix across 35 - 67 different measures of functional status. The detail behind this risk adjustment approach is contained in each year's rate report from the State's contracted actuaries at PricewaterhouseCoopers (PwC).

c. |x| Capitated portion of the waiver only -- Reinsurance or Stop/Loss Coverage: Please note how the State will be providing or requiring reinsurance or stop/loss coverage as required under the regulation. States may require MCOs/PIHPs/PAHPs to purchase reinsurance. Similarly, States may provide stop-loss coverage to MCOs/PIHPs/PAHPs when MCOs/PIHPs/PAHPs exceed certain payment thresholds for individual enrollees. Stop loss provisions usually set limits on maximum days of coverage or number of services for which the

MCO/PIHP/PAHP will be responsible. If the State plans to provide stop/loss coverage, a description is required. The State must document the probability of incurring costs in excess of the stop/loss level and the frequency of such occurrence based on FFS experience. The expenses per capita (also known as the stoploss premium amount) should be deducted from the capitation year projected costs. In the initial application, the effect should be neutral. In the renewal report, the actual reinsurance cost and claims cost should be reported in Actual Waiver Cost. Basis and Method:

- The State does not provide stop/loss protection for MCOs/PIHPs/PAHPs, but requires MCOs/PIHPs/PAHPs to purchase reinsurance coverage privately. No adjustment was necessary.
- 2. The State provides stop/loss protection

Describe below how the issue of selection bias has been addressed in the Actual Waiver Cost calculations:

Stop loss is met by the State requiring working capital, restricted reserves, and pooled solvency fund contributions by each PIHP.

- d. 🖈 Incentive/bonus/enhanced Payments for both Capitated and fee-for-service Programs:
 - 1. X [For the capitated portion of the waiver] the total payments under a capitated contract include any incentives the State provides in addition to capitated payments under the waiver program. The costs associated with any bonus arrangements must be accounted for in the capitated costs (Column D of Appendix D3 Actual Waiver Cost). Regular State Plan service capitated adjustments would apply.

Document

- i. Document the criteria for awarding the incentive payments.
- ii. Document the method for calculating incentives/bonuses, and
- iii. Document the monitoring the State will have in place to ensure that total payments to the MCOs/PIHPs/PAHPs do not exceed the Waiver Cost Projection.
- i. The Department will provide an incentive payment to the PIHP of \$1,000 for each member of a PIHP who is relocated from an institution into a community setting consistent with federal Money Follows the Person (MFP) guidelines.

The incentive is a one time payment paid to the PIHP per relocated member. The incentive payments themselves are not incorporated into rate setting for future years, but the service costs for the member are included in the encounter data used for future year rate setting.

- ii. The amount of payment provided to a PIHP will be determined after the end of the contract year. The PIHP will submit before December 31 of the contract year a list of members for whom the PIHP anticipates a receipt of an incentive payment. The Department will compare the PIHP's list of member's to the Department's list of Medicaid members for whom the Department is receiving an enhanced Medicaid match through the MFP program to determine the number of relocations to use for calculation the incentive payment to the PIHP. The Department will notify the PIHP of the estimated amount of the incentive payment and the list of PIHP members for whom an incentive payment is being made prior to issuing the incentive payment.
- iii. The approximate amount of anticipated incentive payment will be known prior to the end of the contract year. As described in the method above, the PIHP will submit before December 31 of the contract year a list of members for whom the PIHP anticipates a receipt of an incentive payment. The Department will compare the PIHP's list of member's to the Department's list of Medicaid members for whom the Department is receiving an enhanced Medicaid match through the MFP program.
- 2. For the fee-for-service portion of the waiver, all fee-for-service must be accounted for in the fee-for-service incentive costs (Column G of Appendix D3 Actual Waiver Cost).). For PCCM providers, the amount listed should match information provided in D.I.D Reimbursement of Providers. Any adjustments applied would need to meet the special criteria for fee-for-service incentives if the State elects to provide incentive payments in addition to management fees under the waiver program (See D.I.I.e and D.I.J.e)

Document:

- i. Document the criteria for awarding the incentive payments.
- ii. Document the method for calculating incentives/bonuses, and
- iii. Document the monitoring the State will have in place to ensure that total payments to the MCOs/PIHPs/PAHPs/PCCMs do not exceed the Waiver Cost Projection.

Appendix D3 - Actual Waiver Cost

Section D: Cost-Effectiveness

Part I: State Completion Section

I. Appendix D4 - Adjustments in the Projection OR Conversion Waiver for DOS within DOP (1 of 8)

This section is only applicable to Initial waivers

Section D: Cost-Effectiveness

Part I: State Completion Section

I. Appendix D4 - Adjustments in the Projection OR Conversion Waiver for DOS within DOP (2 of 8)

This section is only applicable to Initial waivers

Section D: Cost-Effectiveness

Part I: State Completion Section

I. Appendix D4 - Adjustments in the Projection OR Conversion Waiver for DOS within DOP (3 of 8)

This section is only applicable to Initial waivers

Section D: Cost-Effectiveness

Part I: State Completion Section

I. Appendix D4 - Adjustments in the Projection OR Conversion Waiver for DOS within DOP (4 of 8)

This section is only applicable to Initial waivers

Section D: Cost-Effectiveness

Part I: State Completion Section

I. Appendix D4 - Adjustments in the Projection OR Conversion Waiver for DOS within DOP (5 of 8)

This section is only applicable to Initial waivers

Section D: Cost-Effectiveness

Part I: State Completion Section

Print application selector for 1915(b)Waiver: Draft WI.048.06.03 - Jul 01, 2017 Page 67 of 75

I. Appendix D4 - Adjustments in the Projection OR Conversion Waiver for DOS within DOP (6 of 8)

This section is only applicable to Initial waivers

Section D: Cost-Effectiveness

Part I: State Completion Section

I. Appendix D4 - Adjustments in the Projection OR Conversion Waiver for DOS within DOP (7 of 8)

This section is only applicable to Initial waivers

Section D: Cost-Effectiveness

Part I: State Completion Section

I. Appendix D4 - Adjustments in the Projection OR Conversion Waiver for DOS within DOP (8 of 8)

This section is only applicable to Initial waivers

Section D: Cost-Effectiveness

Part I: State Completion Section

- J. Appendix D4 Conversion or Renewal Waiver Cost Projection and Adjustments. (1 of 5)
 - a. State Plan Services Trend Adjustment the State must trend the data forward to reflect cost and utilization increases. The R1 and R2 (BY for conversion) data already include the actual Medicaid cost changes for the population enrolled in the program. This adjustment reflects the expected cost and utilization increases in the managed care program from R2 (BY for conversion) to the end of the waiver (P2). Trend adjustments may be service-specific and expressed as percentage factors. Some states calculate utilization and cost separately, while other states calculate a single trend rate. The State must document the method used and how utilization and cost increases are not duplicative if they are calculated separately. This adjustment must be mutually exclusive of programmatic/policy/pricing changes and CANNOT be taken twice. The State must document how it ensures there is no duplication with programmatic/policy/pricing changes.
 - 1. X [Required, if the State's BY or R2 is more than 3 months prior to the beginning of P1] The State is using actual State cost increases to trend past data to the current time period (i.e., trending from 1999 to present).

The actual trend rate used is: 3.41

Please document how that trend was calculated:

Nursing Home Level of Care: 3.4%

Non-Nursing Home Level of Care: 3.4%

Trend rates are based primarily on the trends calculated by the State's contracted actuary, PricewaterhouseCoopers, for CY 2015 capitation rate development. The trend estimates were developed for Developmentally Disabled, Physically Disabled, and Frail Elder target groups for both Medicaid Eligibility Groups combined using standard actuarial practices based on actual CY 2011 – CY 2013 cost data from existing Family Care counties.

The individual target group trends are adjusted to reflect State budget assumptions. The State budget assumes cost increases of 2.25% in CY2015 over the aggregate service cost trend developed by the actuaries to arrive at the trend of 3.4% in CY2015. Analyses suggest that the service cost trends for the Family Care program as a whole have been held down in recent years due to an increasing proportion of new members enrolling at a lower acuity level relative to a decreasing proportion of existing higher cost

2.	members that enrolled from the legacy waivers. As these proportions stabilize, overall cost increases will no longer be diluted by an increasing proportion of lower cost members. The trend in the State budget is, therefore, adjusted to reflect trends more consistent with the Consumer Price Index. Trends are weighted by target group enrollment to arrive at the composite trend for each MEG for each year. [Required, to trend BY/R2 to P1 and P2 in the future] When cost increases are unknown and in the
	future, the State is using a predictive trend of either State historical cost increases or national or regional factors that are predictive of future costs (same requirement as capitated ratesetting regulations) (i.e., trending from present into the future). i. X State historical cost increases.
	Please indicate the years on which the rates are based: base years. In addition, please indicate the mathematical method used (multiple regression, linear regression, chi-square, least squares, exponential smoothing, etc.). Finally, please note and explain if the State's cost increase calculation includes more factors than a price increase such as changes in technology, practice patterns, and/or units of service PMPM.
	Years on which the rates are based: CY 2011 – CY 2013.
	Trend rates are based primarily on the trends calculated by the State's contracted actuary, PricewaterhouseCoopers, for CY 2015 capitation rate development. The trend estimates were developed for Developmentally Disabled, Physically Disabled, and Frail Elder target groups for both Medicaid Eligibility Groups combined using standard actuarial practices based on actual CY 2011 – CY 2013 cost data from existing Family Care counties.
	The individual target group trends are adjusted to reflect State budget assumptions. The State budget assumes cost increases of 2.25% in CY2015 and 1.75% in CY2016 - CY2019 over the aggregate service cost trend developed by the actuaries to arrive at the trends of 3.4% in CY2015 and 2.9% in CY2016 - CY2019. Analyses suggest that the service cost trends for the Family Care program as a whole have been held down in recent years due to an increasing proportion of new members enrolling at a lower acuity level relative to a decreasing proportion of existing higher cost members that enrolled from the legacy waivers. As these proportions stabilize, overall cost increases will no longer be diluted by an increasing proportion of lower cost members. The trend in the State budget is, therefore, adjusted to reflect trends more consistent with the Consumer Price Index. Trends are weighted by target group enrollment to arrive at the composite trend for each MEG for each year. Trends rates are as follows:
	Nursing Home Level of Care: 3.4% from R2 (CY 2014) to P1 (CY 2015), 2.9% from P1 (CY 2015) to P2 (CY 2016), 2.9 % from P2 (CY 2016) to P3 (CY 2017), 2.9% from P3 (CY 2017) to P4 (CY 2018), and 2.9% from P4 (CY 2018) to P5 (CY 2019).
	Non-Nursing Home Level of Care: 3.4% from R2 (CY 2014) to P1 (CY 2015), 2.9% from P1 (CY 2015) to P2 (CY 2016), 2.9 % from P2 (CY 2016) to P3 (CY 2017), 2.9% from P3 (CY 2017) to P4 (CY 2018), and 2.9% from P4 (CY 2018) to P5 (CY 2019). ii. National or regional factors that are predictive of this waiver's future costs.
	Please indicate the services and indicators used. In addition, please indicate how this factor was determined to be predictive of this waiver's future costs. Finally, please note and explain if the State's cost increase calculation includes more factors than a price increase such as changes in technology, practice patterns, and/or units of service PMPM.
3.	The State estimated the PMPM cost changes in units of service, technology and/or practice patterns that would occur in the waiver separate from cost increase. Utilization adjustments made were service-specific and expressed as percentage factors. The State has documented how utilization and cost increases were not duplicated. This adjustment reflects the changes in utilization between R2 and P1 and between years P1 and P2. i. Please indicate the years on which the utilization rate was based (if calculated separately

ii. Please document how the utilization did not duplicate separate cost increase trends.

Print application selector for 1915(b)Waiver: Draft WI.048.06.03 - Jul 01, 2017 Page 69 of 75
Appendix D4 – Adjustments in Projection
Section D: Cost-Effectiveness
Part I: State Completion Section
J. Appendix D4 - Conversion or Renewal Waiver Cost Projection and Adjustments. (2 of 5)
b. State Plan Services Programmatic/Policy/Pricing Change Adjustment: This adjustment should account for any programmatic changes that are not cost neutral and that affect the Waiver Cost Projection. For example, changes in rates, changes brought about by legislation. For example, Federal mandates, changes in hospital payment from per diem rates to Diagnostic Related Group (DRG) rates or changes in the benefit coverage of the FFS program. This adjustment must be mutually exclusive of trend and CANNOT be taken twice. The State must document how it ensures there is no duplication with trend. If the State is changing one of the aspects noted above in the FFS State Plan then the State needs to estimate the impact of that adjustment. Note: FFP on rates cannot be claimed until CMS approves the SPA per the 1/2/01 SMD letter. Prior approval of capitation rates is contingent upon approval of the SPA. The R2 data was adjusted for changes that will occur after the R2 (BY for conversion) and during P1 and P2 that affect the overall Medicaid program.
Others:
 Additional State Plan Services (+) Reductions in State Plan Services (-) Legislative or Court Mandated Changes to the Program Structure or fee Graduate Medical Education (GME) Changes - This adjustment accounts for changes in any GME payments in the program. 42 CFR 438.6(c)(5) specifies that States can include or exclude GME payments from the capitation rates. However, GME payments must be included in cost-effectiveness calculations. Copayment Changes - This adjustment accounts for changes from R2 to P1 in any copayments that are collected under the FFS program, but not collected in the MCO/PIHP/PAHP capitated program. States must ensure that these copayments are included in the Waiver Cost Projection if not to be collected in the capitated program. If the State is changing the copayments in the FFS program then the State needs to estimate the impact of that adjustment. 1.
For the list of changes above, please report the following:
 A.
C. Determine adjustment based on currently approved SPA. PMPM size of adjustment

	D. E.	Determine adjustment for Medicare Part D dual eligibles. Other:
		Please describe
ii.		e State has projected no externally driven managed care rate increases/decreases in the langed care rates.
iii.		anges brought about by legal action:
	Ple	ase list the changes.
	For the	list of changes above, please report the following:
	A.	☐ The size of the adjustment was based upon a newly approved State Plan Amendment (SPA).
		PMPM size of adjustment
	_	
	B.	The size of the adjustment was based on pending SPA.
		Approximate PMPM size of adjustment
	C.	Determine adjustment based on currently approved SPA.
		PMPM size of adjustment
	D.	Other
		Please describe
iv.		anges in legislation.
	Ple	ase list the changes.
	For the	list of changes above, please report the following:
	A.	$\hfill \square$ The size of the adjustment \hfill was based upon a newly approved State Plan Amendment
		(SPA). PMPM size of adjustment
		I WI W Size of adjustment
	B.	The size of the adjustment was based on pending SPA.
		Approximate PMPM size of adjustment
	_	
	C.	Determine adjustment based on currently approved SPA
		PMPM size of adjustment
	D.	Other
		Please describe
٧.	X Otl	
	Ple	ase describe:

A.	The size of the adjustment was based upon a newly approved State Plan Amendment (SPA). PMPM size of adjustment
В.	The size of the adjustment was based on pending SPA. Approximate PMPM size of adjustment
C.	Determine adjustment based on currently approved SPA. PMPM size of adjustment

D. X Other

Please describe

Program adjustments are included each year to compensate for payment timing differences in the CMS 64.9 reports, PIHP enrollment mix changes, capitation rate policy adjustments, implementation in new service areas, the addition of new services, and other lump sum payments. DUE TO SPACE LIMITATIONS IN THE WMS, PLEASE REFER TO THIS SECTION IN THE PRE-PRINT FOR A DETAILED DESCRIPTION.

The following program adjustments are included:

An adjustment of -0.13% was made to NH LOC and 0.11% to Non-NH LOC in P1 (CY2015) to reflect payments and recoveries reported in the CMS 64.9 for R1 and R2, but need to be excluded in projected future periods as these costs will not be incurred again in the projection period.

Enrollment changes in PIHPs serving existing Family Care counties affect the average program-wide capitation rates. This adjustment relates to the effect of PIHPs with different capitation rates having different enrollment growth rates. The aggregate capitation rate will increase if there is more enrollment growth MCOs with higher capitation rates and will decrease if enrollment is higher in MCOs with lower capitation rates. The impact of enrollment in counties implementing Family Care is described in a separate program adjustment. Adjustments to NH LOC are -0.47% in P1 (CY 2015), -0.18% in P2 (CY 2016), -0.31% in P3 (CY 2017), 0.08% in P4 (CY 2018), and -0.33% in P5 (CY 2019). Adjustments included in Non-NH LOC are -0.66% in P1 (CY 2015), -0.12% in P2 (CY 2019).

An adjustment of 0.75% was needed to NH LOC for P1 (CY 2015) to compensate for a one time policy adjustment made to R2 (CY 2014) rates for one PIHP. The PIHP made changes to its operating structure that cut costs dramatically and would have resulted in excessive profits. Capitation rates were reduced for the year and PIHP was required to use the surplus to re-establish certain program operations that had been cut.

Family Care program expansion to additional counties requires NH LOC adjustments of 0.23% in P1 (CY 2015), 0.36% in P2 (CY 2016), -0.10% in P3 (CY 2017), 0.43% in P4 (CY 2018), and -0.20% in P5 (CY 2019). Expansion related adjustments for Non-NH LOC are 0.00% in P1 (CY 2015), 0.00% in P2 (CY 2016), 0.00% in P3 (CY 2017), 0.00% in P4 (CY 2018), and 0.00% in P5 (CY 2019). The adjustments are the result of the case mix of the population in new implementation areas being added to the existing rate structure. Members enrolling from the wait list typically have lower acuity which suppresses any rate increases that would otherwise occur. Rates in newly implemented counties include a phase-in adjustment during the first 24 months that a county is transitioning to Family Care. The incentive decreases by 50% in months 13-24 and is removed completely after month 24

Print application selector for 1915(b)Waiver: Draft WI.048.06.03 - Jul 01, 2017 Page 72 of 75

Consultative Clinical and Therapeutic Services for Caregivers and Training Services for Unpaid Caregivers will be added as new home and community-based benefits 1/1/2015 adding \$0.54 PMPM to the NH LOC capitation rates. An adjustment of 0.02% is included in P1 (CY 2015).

Nursing home supplemental payments will not be made to PIHPs on or after 1/1/2015. The payments are included in the 9/30/2014 CMS 64 requiring a -0.16% adjustment to the NH LOC in CY2015. Historically, nursing home supplemental payments are authorized under s.49.45(6u), Wis Stats, in which the legislative intent regarding the purpose of the payments is made explicit at 49.45(6u)(am):

Notwithstanding sub. (6m), from the appropriations under s. 20.435 (4) (0), and (w), for reduction of operating deficits, as defined under the methodology used by the department in December 2000, incurred by a facility that is established under s. 49.70 (1) or that is owned and operated by a city, village, or town, and as payment to care management organizations, the department may not distribute to these facilities and to care management organizations more than \$39,100,000 in each fiscal year, as determined by the department. The total amount that a county certifies under this subsection may not exceed 100% of otherwise-unreimbursed care.

Payments for high cost relocations have not yet been made to the PIHPs and have, therefore, not yet been reported on the CMS 64 requiring an adjustment of 0.72% to the NH LOC in P1 (CY 2015). These payments will be reported on future CMS 64s when the payments are made or incorporated into the capitation rates. Once the payments are added to P1 of the projection, they are automatically included in the cost base for future years, so no other adjustments are needed.

Money Follows the Person incentive payments were reported in the 6/30/2014 CMS 64 and will continue to be made in the future. Therefore, no policy adjustment is needed in the projection.

Funding is included in P1 (CY 2015) for adjustments to CY2015 capitation rates for PIHPs experiencing disproportionately high costs relative to the capitation rate model. These are contingent expenditures to stabilize PIHPs experiencing financial distress. There have been three PIHPs that have discontinued operations since 2008 that required additional funding. Four PIHPs are likely to be placed on either heightened financial monitoring or financial corrective action by the end of CY2014. Detailed review has indicated that PIHPs' operations to be the primary source of the financial difficulties, not the soundness of the capitation rates. The PIHPs are required to identify and implement efficiencies to reflect the payment model and will continue under enhanced financial monitoring, which includes monthly financial reporting. Additional funding would be incorporated into capitation rates. Contract amendments would be executed if the rate adjustments occur after the initial contract for year is signed. These costs are not reflected in the CMS 64 reports through 6/30/2014 requiring a policy adjustment of 0.54% in P1 (CY 2015). This funding is discontinued in P2 (CY 2016) resulting in a downward adjustment to NH LOC of -0.53%.

Net program adjustments in the NH LOC MEG are 1.5% in P1, -0.4% in P2, -0.4% in P3, 0.5% in P4, and -0.5% in P5.

Net program adjustments in the Non-NH LOC MEG are -0.6% in P1, -0.1% in P2, -0.1% in P3, -0.1% in P4, and -0.1% in P5.

Section D: Cost-Effectiveness

Part I: State Completion Section

J. Appendix D4 - Conversion or Renewal Waiver Cost Projection and Adjustments. (3 of 5)

c. Administrative Cost Adjustment: This adjustment accounts for changes in the managed care program. The administrative expense factor in the renewal is based on the administrative costs for the eligible population participating in the waiver for managed care. Examples of these costs include per claim claims processing costs, additional per record PRO review costs, and additional Surveillance and Utilization Review System (SURS) costs;

_	ed care program. If the State is changing the administration in the fee-for-service program then the State to estimate the impact of that adjustment.
1.	No adjustment was necessary and no change is anticipated.
2.	An administrative adjustment was made.
	i. Administrative functions will change in the period between the beginning of P1 and the end of
	P2.
	Please describe:
	ii. X Cost increases were accounted for.
	A. Determine administration adjustment based upon an approved contract or cost
	allocation plan amendment (CAP).
	B. Determine administration adjustment based on pending contract or cost allocation plan
	amendment (CAP).
	C. State Historical State Administrative Inflation. THe actual trend rate used is PMPM
	size of adjustment
	Please describe:

as well as actuarial contracts, consulting, encounter data processing, independent assessments, EQRO reviews, etc. Note: one-time administration costs should not be built into the cost-effectiveness test on a long-term basis. States should use all relevant Medicaid administration claiming rules for administration costs they attribute to the

Page 73 of 75

Print application selector for 1915(b)Waiver: Draft WI.048.06.03 - Jul 01, 2017

D. X Other

iii. [Require governr trends a adminis State ac	Please describe: Annual service cost trends of 3.4% in P1 (CY 2015) and 2.9% in P2 (CY 2016) through P5 (CY 2019) are used for both the Nursing Home and Non-Nursing Home levels of care. Family Care will become a greater proportion of overall Wisconsin Medicaid expenditures as the program grows, which will increase Family Care's share of administrative costs proportionately as capitation rates increase. ed, when State Plan services were purchased through a sole source procurement with a mental entity. No other State administrative adjustment is allowed.] If cost increase are unknown and in the future, the State must use the lower of: Actual State tration costs trended forward at the State historical administration trend rate or Actual dministration costs trended forward at the State Plan services trend rate.
	Actual State Administration costs trended forward at the State historical administration trend rate.
1	Please indicate the years on which the rates are based: base years
	In addition, please indicate the mathematical method used (multiple regression, linear regression, chi-square, least squares, exponential smoothing, etc.). Finally, please note and explain if the State's cost increase calculation includes more factors than a price increase.
В.	Actual State Administration costs trended forward at the State Plan Service Trend rate. Please indicate the State Plan Service trend rate from Section D.I.J.a. above
Section D: Cost-Effectiveness	
Part I: State Completion Section	on
	Renewal Waiver Cost Projection and Adjustments. (4 of 5)
additional 1915(b)(3) servic State Plan services in the pr the Base Year and P1 of the	ne State must document the amount of State Plan Savings that will be used to provide the sin Section D.I.H.a above. The Base Year already includes the actual trend for the ogram. This adjustment reflects the expected trend in the 1915(b)(3) services between a waiver and the trend between the beginning of the program (P1) and the end of the ments may be service-specific and expressed as percentage factors.
	e State's BY is more than 3 months prior to the beginning of P1 to trend BY to P1]
trending from 1 The actual docu	ing the actual State historical trend to project past data to the current time period (i.e., 1999 to present). umented trend is: documentation.
are unknown ar lower of State h	n the State's BY is trended to P2. No other 1915(b)(3) adjustment is allowed] If trends and in the future (i.e., trending from present into the future), the State must use the nistorical 1915(b)(3) trend or State's trend for State Plan Services. Please document is and indicate which trend rate was used.

A - Otata Lista in al 4045(LVO) (as a Laster
 A. State historical 1915(b)(3) trend rates 1. Please indicate the years on which the rates are based: base years
2. Please provide documentation.
B. State Plan Service trend
Please indicate the State Plan Service trend rate from Section D.I.J.a. above
lease maleate the diate hair service trend rate from section 2.1.3.a. above
e. Incentives (not in capitated payment) Trend Adjustment: If the State marked Section D.I.H.d , then this adjustment reports trend for that factor. Trend is limited to the rate for State Plan services.
 List the State Plan trend rate by MEG from Section D.I.I.a 3.4% in P1 (CY 2015) and 2.9% in P2 (CY 2016) through P5 (CY 2019)
List the Incentive trend rate by MEG if different from Section D.I.I.a
Not applicable. The State Plan service trend is used. 3. Explain any differences:
Money Follow the Person incentive payments are accounted for in capitation costs as required in H.d.1 above. Therefore the trend rate for services is used.
Section D: Cost-Effectiveness
Part I: State Completion Section
J. Appendix D4 - Conversion or Renewal Waiver Cost Projection and Adjustments. (5 of 5)
p. Other adjustments including but not limited to federal government changes.
 If the federal government changes policy affecting Medicaid reimbursement, the State must adjust P1 and P2 to reflect all changes.
 Once the State's FFS institutional excess UPL is phased out, CMS will no longer match excess institutional UPL payments.
 Excess payments addressed through transition periods should not be included in the 1915(b cost effectiveness process. Any State with excess payments should exclude the excess amount and only include the supplemental amount under 100% of the institutional UPL in the cost effectiveness process.
For all other payments made under the UPL, including supplemental payments, the costs should be included in the cost effectiveness calculations. This would apply to PCCM enrollees and to PAHP, PIHP or MCO enrollees if the institutional services were provided as FFS wrap around. The recipient of the supplemental payment does not matter for the purposes of this analysis.
 Pharmacy Rebate Factor Adjustment (Conversion Waivers Only) *: Rebates that States receive from drug manufacturers should be deducted from Base Year costs if pharmacy services are included in the capitated base. If the base year costs are not reduced by the rebate factor, an inflated BY would result. Pharmacy rebates should also be deducted from FFS costs if pharmacy services are impacted by the waiver but not capitated. Basis and Method:
 Determine the percentage of Medicaid pharmacy costs that the rebates represent and adjust the base year costs by this percentage. States may want to make
separate adjustments for prescription versus over the counter drugs and for

different rebate percentages by population. States may assume that the rebates for the targeted population occur in the same proportion as the rebates for the total

	 Medicaid population which includes accounting for Part D dual eligibles. Please account for this adjustment in Appendix D5. The State has not made this adjustment because pharmacy is not an included capitation service and the capitated contractor's providers do not prescribe drugs that are paid for by the State in FFS or Part D for the dual eligibles. Other
1.	No adjustment was made.
2.	This adjustment was made. This adjustment must be mathematically accounted for in Appendix D5. Please describe DUE TO SPACE LIMITATIONS IN THE WMS, PLEASE REFER TO THIS SECTION IN THE PRE-PRINT FOR A DETAILED DESCRIPTION.

Detail of Adjustments for Amendment WI.0007.R06.01:

P2: The \$9.30 PMPM decrease is the difference between the cost of mental health services for all of P2 (CY 2016) in the renewal and only inpatient mental health for half of P2 (CY 2016) in the amendment. Removing outpatient mental health services decreased benefit cost from \$12.84 PMPM to \$7.05 PMPM resulting in a \$5.79 decrease. The remaining mental health benefit is assumed to be available beginning 7/1/2016 instead of 1/1/2016 in the original renewal. Only including the member months during the period in which the benefit is available further decreases the full \$7.05 PMPM benefit cost by \$3.51 PMPM when distributed over the full year.

The result is a 0.3% decrease in the Program Adjustment for the Nursing Home Level of Care MEG and a 1.6% decrease for the Non-Nursing Home Level of Care MEG. The Non-Nursing Home Level of Care MEG change appears larger as a percentage due to having a lower capitation rate.

P3: A formula error in the Nursing Home Level of Care MEG resulted in the inflation adjustment related to the new waiver services added in P1 (CY2015) being entered incorrectly as a program adjustment in P3 (CY2017). \$0.01 PMPM was subtracted from the PMPM Effect of Program Adjustment column and added to the PMPM Effect of Inflation column. There is no effect on total cost for P3 (CY2017). Appendix D5 has been corrected in the Excel workbook.

The \$9.30 PMPM decrease to PMPM State Plan Service Costs, PMPM Total Actual Waiver Costs, and PMPM State Plan Service Cost Projection are all carried over from the P2 (CY 2016) changes.

The \$0.17 decrease to the PMPM Effect of Inflation Adjustment is the \$5.79 PMPM benefit cost decrease from removing outpatient mental health benefits multiplied by the inflation factor of 2.9%.

The increase to the PMPM Effect of Program Adjustment adds the \$3.51 PMPM deducted in P2 (CY 2016) when the new benefit was not available for the entire year. This brings the benefit cost back to \$7.05 PMPM for the full year. The result is a 0.1% decrease in the Program Adjustment Retro Acuity & Other Payments column for the Nursing Home Level of Care MEG and a 0.6% decrease for the Non-Nursing Home Level of Care MEG. The Non-Nursing Home Level of Care MEG change appears larger as a percentage due to having a lower capitation rate. The original renewal assumed the new mental health benefits would be available for the entire year in P2 (CY 2016), so no program adjustment was necessary in P3 (CY 2017) of the original renewal.

The \$3.34 PMPM increase to Aggregate PMPM Effect of State Plan Service Adjustment is from adding back the \$3.51 PMPM program adjustment to arrive at the full benefit cost of \$7.05 PMPM and then deducting the \$0.17 PMPM reduction to inflation due to lower benefit cost.

The \$5.96 PMPM decrease to Total PMPM State Plan Service Cost Projection is the \$5.79 PMPM cost reduction from removing outpatient mental health services with the \$0.17 PMPM reduction from lower https://wms-mmdl.cdsvdc.com/WMS/faces/protected/cms1915b/v0/print/PrintSele... 12/5/2016

Print application selector for 1915(b)Waiver: Draft WI.048.06.03 - Jul 01, 2017 Page 77 of 75 inflation.

P4: The \$5.96 PMPM decrease to PMPM State Plan Service Costs, PMPM Total Actual Waiver Costs, and PMPM State Plan Service Cost Projection are all carried over from the P3 (CY 2017) changes.

The \$0.17 PMPM decrease in the PMPM Effect of Inflation Adjustment adds one more year of inflation at 2.9% to the \$5.96 PMPM cumulative effect of removing the outpatient mental health benefits through P3.

The result is a \$6.13 PMPM decrease in the Total PMPM State Plan Service Cost Projection. This represents the \$5.79 PMPM benefit cost decrease in P2 (CY 2016) relative to the original renewal plus the cumulative effect of the inflation adjustment reduction compounded for two years at 2.9% annually (\$0.17 PMPM +\$0.17 PMPM = \$0.34 PMPM).

P5: The \$6.13 PMPM decrease to PMPM State Plan Service Costs, PMPM Total Actual Waiver Costs, and PMPM State Plan Service Cost Projection are all carried over from the P4 (CY 2018) changes.

The \$0.18 PMPM decrease in the PMPM Effect of Inflation Adjustment adds one more year of inflation at 2.9% to the \$6.13 PMPM cumulative effect of removing the outpatient mental health benefits through P4.

The result is a \$6.31 PMPM decrease in the Total PMPM State Plan Service Cost Projection. This represents the \$5.79 PMPM benefit cost decrease in P2 (CY 2016) relative to the original renewal plus the cumulative effect of the inflation adjustment reduction compounded for three years at 2.9% annually (\$0.17 PMPM +\$0.17 PMPM + \$0.18 PMPM = \$0.52 PMPM).

NOTE:

Administration cost trends are based on the benefit inflation adjustment. The new inpatient mental health benefit is included in P2 (CY 2016) as a \$3.54 PMPM program adjustment (\$7.05 PMPM benefit cost less \$3.51 PMPM adjustment to remove cost for the first half of the year when the benefit was not available). Adding the new benefit does not affect the P2 (CY 2016) benefit inflation adjustment, so P2 (CY 2016) administration is unchanged.

The \$3.51 PMPM program adjustment made in P2 (CY 2016) to reflect the new mental health benefit being available for only a partial year, beginning 7/1/2016, is added back in P3 (CY2017) since the new benefit will be available for the entire year. Because the program adjustment is added back the following year, there is a \$0.10 PMPM (\$3.51 PMPM x 2.9%) inflation effect which increases the CY2017 benefit inflation adjustment factors by 0.003% for the Nursing Home Level of Care MEG and 0.017% for the Non-Nursing Home Level of Care MEG. Administration costs increase by the same factors, since administration cost trends are based on the benefit inflation adjustment. Due to rounding in the Excel workbook, the small changes to the State Plan Inflation Adjustment and Administration Costs Inflation Adjustment columns are not visible.

Administration cost increases in P4 (CY 2018) and P5 (CY 2019) are the effects of the P3 (CY 2017) increase carried forward in the base administration cost. Inflation adjustment factors in P4 (CY 2018) and P5 (CY 2019) are unchanged.

Section D: Cost-Effectiveness

Part I: State Completion Section

K. Appendix D5 – Waiver Cost Projection

The State should complete these appendices and include explanations of all adjustments in Section D.I.I and D.I.J above.

Please refer to sections D.I.J.a.i., D.I.J.a.ii., D.I.J.b.2.v.D, D.I.J.c.2.ii.D and D.I.J.e. in the pre-print for detailed explanations of the adjustments.

Appendix D5 – Waiver Cost Projection

Section D: Cost-Effectiveness

Part I: State Completion Section

L. Appendix D6 – RO Targets

https://wms-mmdl.cdsvdc.com/WMS/faces/protected/cms1915b/v0/print/PrintSele... 12/5/2016

Print application selector for 1915(b)Waiver: Draft WI.048.06.03 - Jul 01, 2017 Page 78 of 75

The State should complete these appendices and include explanations of all trends in enrollment in Section D.I.E. above.

Appendix D6 – RO Targets

Section D: Cost-Effectiveness

Part I: State Completion Section

M. Appendix D7 - Summary

a. Please explain any variance in the overall percentage change in spending from BY/R1 to P2.

1. Please explain caseload changes contributing to the overall annualized rate of change in Appendix D7 Column I. This response should be consistent with or the same as the answer given by the State in Section D.I.E.c. & d:

Member months are expected to increase in existing Family Care counties due to growth that has historically been experienced in counties after Family Care becomes an entitlement. Additional program growth is expected beginning in P1 (CY2015), and continuing through the waiver period, when seven counties are expected to begin operating the Family Care program. Further growth is expected in P2 (CY2016) when Rock county begins to operate the Family Care program. Adams, Florence, Forest, Oneida, Taylor, and Vilas counties in are assumed to begin operating the Family Care program during P3 (CY2017). Finally, Dane county is assumed to begin operating the Family Care program in P4 (CY2018). Program expansion is reflected in the Implementation Plan in Section A Part I D.2.

The member month projections are based on PIHP business plans, historical trends in Family Care enrollment, and county-specific populations. Approximately 6,600 home and community based-waiver enrollees and 2,100 persons on the waiting list for long-term care services are expected to gradually transition into Family Care. The transition periods for counties with existing home and community-based waivers range from one to seven months. Persons on a waitlist are assumed to be enrolled evenly over 36 months. Roughly 67% of people are assumed to enroll in the Family Care program with the other 33% enrolling in the Self-Directed 1915(c) waiver option. When the program becomes an entitlement in a county beginning in month 37 after program operations begin, historical enrollment shows annual growth rates of approximately 12% in a county's first year of entitlement, 11% in the second, 9% in the third, and 2% thereafter.

Family Care member months are expected to increase by 5.2% from R2 to P1, 5.9% from P1 to P2, 4.1% from P2 to P3, 6.1% from P3 to P4, and 3.0% from P4 to P5.

The transition period assumptions above are preliminary and are intended to be used for budgeting purposes only. Actual transition periods will be determined upon consultation with PIHPs, counties, ADRCs, and other interested parties after PIHP contracts have been awarded.

2. Please explain unit cost changes contributing to the overall annualized rate of change in Appendix D7 Column I. This response should be consistent with or the same as the answer given by the State in the State's explanation of cost increase given in Section D.I.I and D.I.J:

Standard actuarial practices are used to in developing the trend factor for Family Care. Using historical service data (in this case, data from CY 2011 – CY 2013), PricewaterhouseCoopers "backs out" the known cost increases associated with any PMPM changes, by service category. Any remaining change in the PMPM is assumed to be utilization change. This utilization change over the period is then used to predict utilization change in the future.

Medicaid unit cost increases may be known in advance, if the State Legislature has passed the relevant legislation. In those cases, the unit cost increases can be added into the capitation rate development in advance. (This is appropriate because the Family Care PIHPs typically rely on the Medicaid fee schedule.) If the Legislature acts after capitation rates have been developed, however, the rate increases may be added to the capitation rate in a retrospective adjustment.

3. Please explain utilization changes contributing to the overall annualized rate of change in Appendix D7 Column I. This response should be consistent with or the same as the answer given by the State in the State's explanation of utilization given in Section D.I.I and D.I.J:

A separate adjustment for utilization change is not included.

b. Please note any other principal factors contributing to the overall annualized rate of change in Appendix D7 Column I.

Print application selector for 1915(b)Waiver: Draft WI.048.06.03 - Jul 01, 2017 Page 79 of 75

Due to technical limitation of the WMS, please refer to this section in the pre-print.

The following program adjustments are included:

An adjustment of -0.13% was made to NH LOC and 0.11% to Non-NH LOC in P1 (CY2015) to reflect payments and recoveries reported in the CMS 64.9 for R1 and R2, but need to be excluded in projected future periods as these costs will not be incurred again in the projection period.

Enrollment changes in PIHPs serving existing Family Care counties affect the average program-wide capitation rates. This adjustment relates to the effect of PIHPs with different capitation rates having different enrollment growth rates. The aggregate capitation rate will increase if there is more enrollment growth MCOs with higher capitation rates and will decrease if enrollment is higher in MCOs with lower capitation rates. The impact of enrollment in counties implementing Family Care is described in a separate program adjustment. Adjustments to NH LOC are -0.47% in P1 (CY 2015), -0.18% in P2 (CY 2016), -0.31% in P3 (CY 2017), 0.08% in P4 (CY 2018), and -0.33% in P5 (CY 2019). Adjustments included in Non-NH LOC are -0.66% in P1 (CY 2015), -0.12% in P2 (CY 2016), -0.12% in P3 (CY 2017), -0.11% in P4 (CY 2018), and -0.11% in P5 (CY 2019).

An adjustment of 0.75% was needed to NH LOC for P1 (CY 2015) to compensate for a one time policy adjustment made to R2 (CY 2014) rates for one PIHP. The PIHP made changes to its operating structure that cut costs dramatically and would have resulted in excessive profits. Capitation rates were reduced for the year and PIHP was required to use the surplus to re-establish certain program operations that had been cut.

Family Care program expansion to additional counties requires NH LOC adjustments of 0.23% in P1 (CY 2015), 0.36% in P2 (CY 2016), -0.10% in P3 (CY 2017), 0.43% in P4 (CY 2018), and -0.20% in P5 (CY 2019). Expansion related adjustments for Non-NH LOC are 0.00% in P1 (CY 2015), 0.00% in P2 (CY 2016), 0.00% in P3 (CY 2017), 0.00% in P4 (CY 2018), and 0.00% in P5 (CY 2019). The adjustments are the result of the case mix of the population in new implementation areas being added to the existing rate structure. Members enrolling from the wait list typically have lower acuity which suppresses any rate increases that would otherwise occur. Rates in newly implemented counties include a phase-in adjustment during the first 24 months that a county is transitioning to Family Care. The incentive decreases by 50% in months 13-24 and is removed completely after month 24.

Consultative Clinical and Therapeutic Services for Caregivers and Training Services for Unpaid Caregivers will be added as new home and community-based benefits 1/1/2015 adding \$0.54 PMPM to the NH LOC capitation rates. An adjustment of 0.02% is included in P1 (CY 2015).

Nursing home supplemental payments will not be made to PIHPs on or after 1/1/2015. The payments are included in the 9/30/2014 CMS 64 requiring a -0.16% adjustment to the NH LOC in CY2015. Historically, nursing home supplemental payments are authorized under s.49.45(6u), Wis Stats, in which the legislative intent regarding the purpose of the payments is made explicit at 49.45(6u)(am):

Notwithstanding sub. (6m), from the appropriations under s. 20.435 (4) (o), and (w), for reduction of operating deficits, as defined under the methodology used by the department in December 2000, incurred by a facility that is established under s. 49.70 (1) or that is owned and operated by a city, village, or town, and as payment to care management organizations, the department may not distribute to these facilities and to care management organizations more than \$39,100,000 in each fiscal year, as determined by the department. The total amount that a county certifies under this subsection may not exceed 100% of otherwise-unreimbursed care.

Payments for high cost relocations have not yet been made to the PIHPs and have, therefore, not yet been reported on the CMS 64 requiring an adjustment of 0.72% to the NH LOC in P1 (CY 2015). These payments will be reported on future CMS 64s when the payments are made or incorporated into the capitation rates. Once the payments are added to P1 of the projection, they are automatically included in the cost base for future years, so no other adjustments are needed.

Money Follows the Person incentive payments were reported in the 6/30/2014 CMS 64 and will continue to be made in the future. Therefore, no policy adjustment is needed in the projection.

Funding is included in P1 (CY 2015) for adjustments to CY2015 capitation rates for PIHPs experiencing disproportionately high costs relative to the capitation rate model. These are contingent expenditures to stabilize PIHPs experiencing financial distress. There have been three PIHPs that have discontinued operations since 2008 that required additional funding. Four PIHPs are likely to be placed on either heightened financial monitoring or financial corrective action by the end of CY2014. Detailed review has indicated that PIHPs' operations to be the primary source of the financial difficulties, not the soundness of the capitation rates. The PIHPs are required to identify and implement efficiencies to reflect the payment model and will continue under enhanced financial monitoring, which includes monthly financial reporting. Additional funding would be incorporated into capitation rates. Contract amendments would be executed if the rate adjustments occur after the initial contract for year is signed. These costs are not reflected in the CMS 64 reports through 6/30/2014 requiring a policy adjustment of 0.54% in P1 (CY 2015). This funding is discontinued

Print application selector for 1915(b)Waiver: Draft WI.048.06.03 - Jul 01, 2017 Page 80 of 75 in P2 (CY 2016) resulting in a downward adjustment to NH LOC of -0.53%.

Net program adjustments in the NH LOC MEG are 1.5% in P1, -0.4% in P2, -0.4% in P3, 0.5% in P4, and -0.5% in P5.

Net program adjustments in the Non-NH LOC MEG are -0.6% in P1, -0.1% in P2, -0.1% in P3, -0.1% in P4, and -0.1% in P5.

Appendix D7 - Summary